### BEFORE THE

# CITIZENS FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

### REGULAR MEETING

SCAG BOARD ROOM LOCATION:

818 W. 7TH STREET, 12TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

FRIDAY, JANUARY 27, 2012 10: 30 A. M. DATE:

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR REPORTER:

CSR. NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 91519

# INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION NO.	PAGE
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	3
CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL	3
OPENING STATEMENT	4
ADOPT MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 28, 2011 CFAOC MEETING	5
I NFORMATI ON:	
MACIAS, GINI & O'CONNELL (AUDITORS)	82
CONTROLLER'S OFFICE	87
STATUS UPDATE OF CIRM'S FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE, CURRENT BUDGET, UPDATE OF GRANTS AWARDED, AND GRANT PROCESS	
CI RM:	
MATTHEW PLUNKETT ALAN TROUNSON JONATHAN THOMAS	6 44 60
CONSIDERATION OF DRAFT AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING	88
PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE
BOARD MEMBER TIME	88

2

	Diministra in ordina service
1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JANUARY 27, 2012
2	10: 30 A. M.
3	
4	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: GOOD MORNING. WE WILL
5	CONVENE THE CFAOC. LET ME MAKE CLEAR THAT MEMBERS
6	OF THE PUBLIC WILL BE INVITED TO PROVIDE TESTIMONY
7	AFTER EACH AND EVERY ITEM. PLEASE LIMIT YOUR
8	REMARKS TO THREE MINUTES. PLEASE TURN OFF YOUR CELL
9	PHONES.
10	WE'LL BEGIN WITH ITEM NO. 1, WHICH IS THE
11	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. MAY I ASK ALL OF YOU TO STAND
12	AND FACE THE FLAG.
13	(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
14	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: ITEM NO. 2, WE'LL CALL
15	TO ORDER AND MAKE ROLL CALL.
16	DANIEL BRUNNER.
17	MEMBER BRUNNER: PRESENT.
18	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: DR. JAMES KOVACH.
19	MEMBER KOVACH: PRESENT.
20	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: DR. LOREN LIPSON.
21	MEMBER LIPSON: PRESENT.
22	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: JIM LOTT.
23	MEMBER LOTT: HERE.
24	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: DR. GURBINDER SADANA.
25	MEMBER SADANA: PRESENT.
	2
	3

1072 BRISTOL STREET, COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 1-800-622-6092 1-714-444-4100 EMAIL: DEPO@DEPO1.COM

1	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: VERY GOOD. WE HAVE A
2	MAJORITY AND A QUORUM.
3	WE'LL GO TO OPENING STATEMENT. I WANT TO
4	THANK THE MEMBERS OF THE CITIZENS FINANCIAL
5	ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE FOR BEING WITH US
6	TODAY. I KNOW THESE MEETINGS TAKE TIME OUT OF YOUR
7	BUSY SCHEDULE, AND I APPRECIATE YOUR INTEREST AND
8	DEDICATION TO PROTECTING CALIFORNIA'S SIGNIFICANT
9	AND IMPORTANT INVESTMENT IN STEM CELL RESEARCH.
10	I ALSO WANT TO CONGRATULATE AND THANK THE
11	NEW ICOC CHAIRMAN, JONATHAN THOMAS, FOR JOINING US
12	FOR HIS FIRST MEETING WITH CFAOC. THE MEMBERS OF
13	THE COMMITTEE AND I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU
14	TO ENSURE THE BILLIONS PLEDGED TO EMBRYONIC STEM
15	CELL RESEARCH FUNDED BY THE HARD-EARNED TAXPAYER
16	DOLLARS OF CALIFORNIANS IS DONE LAWFULLY, WISELY,
17	AND SUCCESSFULLY.
18	I AM PROUD OF THE ROLE OF THIS COMMITTEE
19	AND WHAT WE'VE DONE IN PROVIDING THE NECESSARY
20	OVERSIGHT ON THIS INVESTMENT. AND I'M ALSO PROUD OF
21	THE STEPS WE HAVE TAKEN TO DEMONSTRATE A
22	TRANSPARENCY IN THIS AREA OF STATE GOVERNMENT.
23	NOW, WE MEET TODAY MORE THAN SEVEN YEARS
24	AFTER THE VOTERS' PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 71 TO
25	REVIEW THE PROGRESS OF THIS PROGRAM AND THE FINDINGS
	A

1	OF OUTSIDE AUDITORS. WE ALSO ARE GOING TO LOOK AT
2	THE INSTITUTE'S FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE AND THE
3	RECENTLY CREATED LOAN PROGRAM. MY COLLEAGUES AND I
4	ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO HEARING FROM CIRM
5	REPRESENTATIVES AND OTHER EXPERTS ON HOW WE CAN
6	STRENGTHEN OVERSIGHT AND GUARANTEE THE BEST USE OF
7	THE TAXPAYER DOLLARS DEDICATED TO THIS RESEARCH.
8	AND THANK YOU ALL FOR BEING HERE. AND I
9	WELCOME ANY INTRODUCTORY REMARKS BY ANY OF THE
10	COMMITTEE MEMBERS. ANY COMMITTEE LIKE TO MAKE A
11	STATEMENT?
12	THEN WE'LL GO TO ITEM NO. 5. WE'LL ADOPT
13	THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE JANUARY 28, 2011, CFAOC
14	MEETING. ARE THERE ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?
15	SEEING NONE, IS THERE A MOTION?
16	MEMBER LIPSON: SO MOVED.
17	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: A MOTION BY DR. LIPSON.
18	IS THERE A SECOND?
19	MEMBER BRUNNER: SECOND.
20	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: IS THERE OBJECTION?
21	WITHOUT OBJECTION, MOTION PASSES.
22	NEXT ITEM, WE WILL DO THE ACCOUNTANT WILL
23	PRESENT THE 2010-2011 INDEPENDENT FINANCIAL AUDIT,
24	AND THEN WE'LL HAVE CIRM'S AUDIT RESPONSE. MAY I
25	ASK A REPRESENTATIVE OF MACIAS TO PRESENT THEIR
	_

1	FINANCIAL AUDIT FINDINGS? THEY'RE NOT HERE YET.
2	WE'LL GO TO THE NEXT ITEM, ITEM 7. WE'LL
3	DO THE STATUS UPDATE OF CIRM'S FINANCIAL
4	PERFORMANCE, UPDATE OF GRANTS AWARDED, AND GRANT
5	PROCESS. I'D LIKE TO INVITE A REPRESENTATIVE FROM
6	CIRM TO ADDRESS THIS ITEM. AS YOU BRING THIS UP TO
7	DATE, WE WOULD PARTICULARLY LIKE TO HEAR ABOUT YOUR
8	CURRENT AND PROJECTED BUDGETS, STATUS OF BOND
9	FUNDING, LOAN PROGRAM, AND TRANSITION PLAN.
10	DR. PLUNKETT: MY NAME IS MATTHEW
11	PLUNKETT. I'M THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AT CIRM.
12	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: CONGRATULATIONS.
13	DR. PLUNKETT: THANK YOU. I STARTED EIGHT
14	WEEKS AGO. AND JUST BY A VERY, VERY BRIEF
15	INTRODUCTION, MY BACKGROUND IS IN ORGANIC CHEMISTRY.
16	PH.D. FROM UC BERKELEY. I SPENT FOUR YEARS WORKING
17	AS A SCIENTIST IN THE BIOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY IN THE
18	BAY AREA. NINE YEARS AS A BIOTECH INVESTMENT BANKER
19	WHERE I WORKED TO RAISE MONEY FOR CORPORATE CLIENTS
20	IN THE BIOTECH INDUSTRY UP AND DOWN THE WEST COAST,
21	AND THEN TWO YEARS AS CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AT A
22	PRIVATE BIOTECHNOLOGY COMPANY IN SOUTH SAN
23	FRANCISCO. I CAME TO CIRM REALLY TO HELP THE
24	ORGANIZATION ACHIEVE ITS PRIMARY GOAL OF FINDING
25	STEM CELL CURES WITHIN THE NEXT NUMBER OF YEARS WITH

1	THE BOND FUNDING WHICH WE HAVE AVAILABLE TO US
2	TODAY.
3	I WOULD LIKE TO JUST START OUT BEFORE I GO
4	INTO A BRIEF FINANCIAL REVIEW OF OUR PRIOR FISCAL
5	YEAR AND SAY AS KIND OF THE NEW FINANCIAL PERSON ON
6	THE TEAM I'D REALLY GONE THROUGH A NUMBER OF THE
7	PAST TRANSCRIPTS OF THIS COMMITTEE AS WELL AS HOW
8	WE'VE BEEN REPORTING THINGS AND SO FORTH
9	HISTORICALLY. AND I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS CFAOC
10	GROUP HAS IDENTIFIED SEVERAL ISSUES IN THE PAST, AND
11	I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT I'VE ALSO COME ON SOME OF THE
12	SAME THINGS. I'D JUST LIKE TO FLAG THOSE BEFORE I
13	START HERE.
14	PHILOSOPHICALLY I BELIEVE THAT BUDGETING
15	AND FINANCIAL REPORTING SHOULD BE VERY CLOSELY TIED
16	TO FUNCTIONAL AREAS; AND, THEREFORE, FOR THE COMING
17	YEAR AND WHAT YOU WILL SEE AT THIS TIME NEXT YEAR IS
18	A BUDGET THAT HAS ADDITIONAL COST CENTERS, INCLUDING
19	EXPLICIT BUCKETS FOR PUBLIC RELATIONS, INFORMATION
20	TECHNOLOGY, AND LEGAL. AND REALLY THE GOAL THERE IS
21	TO ALLOW ANYBODY, WHETHER IT'S A BOARD MEMBER OF
22	CIRM OR A MEMBER OF THE CFAOC OR A STAFFER IN
23	SACRAMENTO, TO LOOK AT OUR BUDGET AND REALLY CLOSELY
24	UNDERSTAND WHERE THE DOLLARS ARE GOING TIES OUT TO
25	WHAT'S BEING ACHIEVED AND WHAT THE GOALS ARE FOR THE

1	ORGANI ZATI ON.
2	THE SECOND THING WHICH I'LL COMMENT ON IS
3	I WAS ABLE TO TAKE A FRESH LOOK AT THE GRANTS
4	MANAGEMENT I.T. CONTRACTING PROJECT WHICH WE HAVE
5	ONGOING. AND I'VE DIRECTED THE GROUP TO REALLY HAVE
6	BI-WEEKLY EXPLICIT DECISION-MAKING AND DISCUSSIONS
7	AS REGARD TO CHANGES TO THE PRIORITIES OF THE
8	PROGRAM WITH THE GOAL BEING TO GET THIS GRANTS
9	MANAGEMENT PROJECT TO THE POINT WHERE IT'S HEADED
10	TOWARDS A MAINTENANCE MODE BY THE END OF THIS
11	CALENDAR YEAR. SO I JUST WANTED TO FLAG A COUPLE OF
12	THOSE THINGS HERE BEFORE I START. AND IF IT'S ALL
13	RIGHT, I'LL JUST GO INTO A BRIEF DISCUSSION OF THE
14	2010-2011 RESULTS FOR THE ORGANIZATION.
15	SO ON THE FIRST SLIDE HERE, YOU CAN JUST
16	SEE THE EXPENDITURES IN SORT OF VERY HIGH LEVEL
17	OVERVIEW. WE HAD BUDGETED \$8.85 MILLION FOR
18	SALARIES AND BENEFITS. WE ENDED UP SPENDING \$8.04
19	MILLION IN THAT CATEGORY. FOR ALL OTHER EXPENSES,
20	WE BUDGETED \$7.17 MILLION AND ENDED UP SPENDING
21	\$6.95 MILLION. FOR A TOTAL WE ENDED UP SPENDING
22	\$14.99 MILLION FOR THE YEAR, WHICH WAS \$1.03 MILLION
23	UNDER BUDGET FOR THE YEAR.
24	THE NEXT SLIDE IS A LITTLE MORE DETAILED
25	BREAKDOWN ON THIS, AND I'LL JUST GO THROUGH A COUPLE

1	OF THE LARGER LINE ITEMS HERE AND HAPPY TO FIELD
2	QUESTIONS ON THIS AS WELL. FOR SALARIES AND
3	BENEFITS, AGAIN, THE BUDGET HERE ENDED UP BEING
4	8.85. WE WERE \$804,000 UNDER THAT. COUPLE OF
5	REASONS FOR THAT. ONE IS THAT WE HAD AN UNFILLED
6	POSITION FOR AN I.T. DIRECTOR WHICH WE ENDED UP
7	FILLING WITH A CONTRACTOR.
8	SO YOU'LL SEE THAT THERE WAS A VARIANCE ON
9	THE POSITIVE SIDE FOR THE OUTSIDE CONTRACTS. AND
10	THAT AMOUNT, WHICH IS A LITTLE OVER \$200,000, REALLY
11	ENDS UP NETTING OUT TO BE CLOSE TO ZERO, BUT THERE
12	WERE A COUPLE OF UNFILLED POSITIONS THAT TOOK US A
13	LITTLE BIT LONGER TO FILL DURING THE PAST FISCAL
14	YEAR THAN WE HOPED WHEN WE PUT THE BUDGET TOGETHER.
15	THE OUTSIDE CONTRACTS TOTALED \$3.04
16	MILLION. \$1.1 MILLION OF THAT WAS OUTSIDE CONTRACTS
17	FOR THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT I.T. PROJECT. AN
18	ADDITIONAL \$900,000 WAS OUTSIDE CONTRACTS FOR LEGAL
19	SERVICES, AND THERE'S A NUMBER OF OTHER THINGS THAT
20	ADD UP COLLECTIVELY TO MAKE THE \$3.04 MILLION.
21	MEMBER KOVACH: CAN I HAVE A QUESTION?
22	THE OUTSIDE CONTRACTS FOR GRANTS MANAGEMENT, IS THAT
23	A FIRM THAT ASSISTS THEM IN THAT PROCESS?
24	DR. PLUNKETT: WE HAVE FIVE INDIVIDUALS
25	TODAY WHO ARE WORKING ON THAT PROJECT, AND THEY EACH

1	COME TO US THROUGH A TOTAL OF FOUR DIFFERENT OUTSIDE
2	AGENCIES WHO PROVIDE THEM EMPLOYEE BENEFITS AND SO
3	FORTH. SO WE CONTRACT WITH THE CONTRACTING
4	AGENCIES, AND THEY'RE EMPLOYEES OF THOSE FOUR
5	AGENCI ES.
6	MEMBER KOVACH: THANK YOU.
7	DR. PLUNKETT: WE DID SIGNIFICANTLY
8	UNDERSPEND ON THE LCOC SCIENCE AND WORKING GROUP
9	MEETINGS, \$1.2 MILLION COLLECTIVELY, WHICH WAS
10	\$671,000 UNDER BUDGET. AND THEN THE ONLY OTHER ITEM
11	WHICH I'LL COMMENT ON HERE IS THE I.T. BUDGET WHICH
12	ENDED UP SIGNIFICANTLY OVER. I BELIEVE THAT
13	THAT'S ABOUT HALF OF THAT OVERRUN OF \$604,000 IS
14	DUE TO THAT PREVIOUS CHANGE THAT I MENTIONED WHERE
15	WE HAD AN EMPLOYEE IN THE BUDGET AS DIRECTOR OF I.T.
16	WE ENDED UP IN THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR
17	FILLING THAT WITH A CONTRACTOR. I'M SORRY. I
18	MISSPOKE THERE. THAT'S IN SIGNIFICANT PART DUE TO
19	MORE EXPENSES FOR THE I.T. PROJECT THAN WE HAD
20	ANTICIPATED AS WELL AS SOME CHANGES TO OUR WEBSITE
21	TO ENABLE US TO GET MORE INFORMATION ON A REAL-TIME
22	BASIS OUT TO THE PUBLIC WITH REGARD TO WHO'S BEEN
23	RECEIVING AWARDS FROM CIRM.
24	ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THIS?
25	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: I'M SORRY. CAN YOU
	10
	10

1	FURTHER DELINEATE WHAT'S CATEGORIZED IN THE OTHER
2	CATEGORY?
3	DR. PLUNKETT: ABSOLUTELY. ACTUALLY I
4	HAVE EVERY SINGLE ITEM FOR THIS YEAR'S BUDGET, AND
5	THEY CLOSELY LINE UP, IF THAT'S ALL RIGHT. I THINK
6	THAT WILL GIVE YOU A GOOD SENSE OF WHERE THAT ENDED
7	UP.
8	\$45,000 FOR ELECTRONIC JOURNAL ACCESS. SO
9	WE HAD ACCESS TO SEVERAL DOZEN ELECTRONIC JOURNALS
10	FOR THE SCIENTIFIC STAFF AT CIRM. THERE'S A \$50,000
11	ITEM THERE FOR THE SCIENCE OFFICES FOR CONFERENCES
12	AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT. SO THIS IS AN EXAMPLE
13	OF SOMETHING, WHERE AT THE END OF THE DAY, WE'LL
14	REALLY TRY AND MAKE THIS MORE EXPLICIT. THERE
15	PROBABLY SHOULDN'T BE GOING FORWARD, I DON'T
16	EXPECT WE'LL HAVE A LINE ITEM THAT SAYS OTHER AT
17	ALL. TRY AND AVOID THAT.
18	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: WE APPRECIATE THAT.
19	THIS WAS A MATTER OF CONCERN LAST YEAR.
20	DR. PLUNKETT: \$115,000 IS FOR NONCAPITAL
21	EQUIPMENT AND OFFICE SUPPLIES. \$19,000 FOR
22	PHOTOCOPY MAINTENANCE. \$60,000 FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION
23	EVENTS. THAT'S ONE WHERE I DON'T THINK IN THIS
24	CURRENT FISCAL YEAR WE'LL BE CLOSE TO THAT EXPENSE.
25	WE SHOULD BE SIGNIFICANTLY UNDER. \$102,000 FOR
	11

1	PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS FOR EMPLOYEES ACROSS THE
2	ORGANIZATION, THE ANNUAL REPORT EXPENSE, AND A
3	NUMBER OF OTHER THINGS.
4	DOES THAT GIVE YOU A GOOD SENSE OF THE
5	ITEMS THAT ARE IN THERE? THERE'S SOME OTHERS THAT
6	ARE MORE IN THE TEENS.
7	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: YEAH. THAT GIVES A MUCH
8	BETTER SENSE. \$115,000 FOR NONCAPITAL EQUIPMENT, DO
9	YOU EXPECT THERE TO BE CONSISTENCY IN THAT FIGURE
10	EACH YEAR?
11	DR. PLUNKETT: NO. I EXPECT THAT FOR
12	TWO REASONS. ONE IS THAT WE'RE NOT REALLY ADDING A
13	LARGE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN THE COMING FISCAL YEAR.
14	SO THAT'S EVERYTHING FROM A KEYBOARD THAT'S NOT
15	CAPITALIZED TO A NEW OFFICE CHAIR AND THAT KIND OF
16	THING. SO I DON'T EXPECT THAT TO BE AS LARGE IN THE
17	COMING YEAR.
18	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: CAN YOU GIVE US A
19	STATUS, SINCE YOU REFERENCE IT, I DON'T KNOW IF
20	YOU'RE GOING TO GO INTO MORE DETAIL IN THE FUTURE,
21	THE STATUS OF THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT I.T.?
22	DR. PLUNKETT: ABSOLUTELY. LET ME JUST
23	PULL OUT A LITTLE SHEET OF NOTES THAT I HAVE ON THAT
24	AS WELL, IF I MAY.
25	SO WE HAVE ADDED A NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT
	12

1	PIECES OF FUNCTIONALITY TO THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT
2	PROJECT OVER THE LAST 12 MONTHS SINCE WE LAST MET.
3	FOR EXAMPLE, WE'RE NOW ABLE TO AUTOMATICALLY
4	GENERATE LISTS OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS FOR GRANT
5	APPLICANTS. SO FOR WHAT WE CALL OUR BASIC BIOLOGY
6	RFA, THERE'S SOMETIMES OVER 300 APPLICATIONS. AND
7	PREVIOUSLY CIRM EMPLOYEES HAD TO MANUALLY GENERATE A
8	LIST OF OVER 300 POTENTIAL CONFLICTS WHICH THEN
9	NEEDS TO BE REVIEWED BY EACH OF THE 29 BOARD MEMBERS
10	AS WELL AS THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEWERS. THAT PROCESS
11	HAS NOW BEEN AUTOMATED. OBVIOUSLY REDUCES THE
12	POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN ERROR THERE AND GIVES US SOME
13	COMFORT AROUND THAT PROCESS.
14	ANOTHER PROCESS WHICH HAS BEEN LARGELY
15	AUTOMATED IS THE PROCESS OF PRODUCING NEW RFA'S. SO
16	WHEN PEOPLE SUBMIT AN APPLICATION FOR CIRM FUNDING,
17	THERE'S A 20-, 30-, 40-PAGE APPLICATION THAT HAS
18	EVERYTHING FROM DETAILS ABOUT THE SCIENTIFIC LEADER
19	OF A PROJECT TO A LAY PERSON'S EXPLANATION OF THE
20	PROGRAM TO SCIENTIFIC DETAILS OF WHAT'S ALREADY BEEN
21	ACCOMPLISHED IN A PROPOSED RESEARCH PLAN.
22	PREVIOUSLY THAT ACTUALLY TOOK A FEW MONTHS
23	TO CREATE A NEW AUTOMATED PDF TEMPLATE THAT AN
24	APPLICANT COULD FILL OUT, SUBMIT TO CIRM, AND THEN
25	WE SORT OF GO THROUGH PAPER COPIES OF THIS IN HOUSE.

1	THE PROCESS OF GENERATING THE NEW RFA'S HAS BEEN
2	LARGELY AUTOMATED, AND IT ACTUALLY ONLY TAKES A FEW
3	DAYS AS LONG AS THERE'S NOT HUGE CHANGES FROM WHAT
4	WE'VE DONE BEFORE. SO I THINK THAT'S REALLY GOOD
5	PROGRESS.
6	SOME OF THE THINGS THAT ARE HIGHEST ON THE
7	LIST THAT WE STILL NEED TO ACCOMPLISH ARE REALLY
8	DRAWING SOME OF THE REPORTING THINGS IN IN A MORE
9	AUTOMATED FASHION. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF THE
10	THINGS THAT WE'D REALLY LIKE TO KNOW IS FOR EACH OF
11	OUR CIRM APPLICANTS HOW MANY PEOPLE WERE SUPPORTED
12	IN THE LAST YEAR WITH THIS CIRM FUNDING, OR HOW MANY
13	ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES DID YOU HAVE ON THIS PROJECT
14	THAT WERE SUPPORTED BY FUNDING SOURCES OTHER THAN
15	CIRM. SO THINGS LIKE THAT ARE THINGS THAT ARE ON
16	THE LIST TO BE DONE AS WE HEAD TOWARDS A MAINTENANCE
17	PHASE WITHIN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS.
18	DOES THAT ANSWER THE QUESTION?
19	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: THAT'S VERY GOOD, IN
20	FACT. DO YOU HAVE A TIME FRAME FOR COMPLETION OF
21	THOSE REMAINING PROJECTS? IS THAT THE EXHAUSTIVE
22	LIST OF REMAINING PROJECTS, AND WHAT'S THE
23	EXPENDITURES EXPECTED?
24	DR. PLUNKETT: IF WE HAD AN EXHAUSTIVE
25	LIST, I FEAR THAT THE PROJECT WOULD GO ON FOREVER.

1	AND WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO TO REALLY KIND OF DRAW A
2	LINE HERE IS SAY TAKE THE 80/20 RULE OR 90/10 OR
3	WHATEVER IT HAPPENS TO BE AND REALLY GET 90, 95
4	PERCENT OF THE FUNCTIONALITY COMPLETE. AND I'VE
5	DIRECTED THE GROUP TO DEVELOP A PLAN TO GET TO THAT
6	POINT BY YEAR-END. THAT'S STILL IN PROCESS, SO I
7	CAN'T TELL YOU IF YEAR-END MIGHT BE 70 PERCENT AND
8	GOES A LITTLE BIT FURTHER THAN THAT. BUT THE GOAL
9	IS REALLY TO HAVE THIS IN A MAINTENANCE MODE BY
10	CALENDAR YEAR-END.
11	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: REMAINING EXPENDITURES
12	FOR THE I.T. PROJECT.
13	DR. PLUNKETT: SO FOR THIS YEAR'S BUDGET,
14	IT'S \$1.3 MILLION FOR THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT PROJECT.
15	I EXPECT WE'LL BE AT ABOUT THAT NUMBER THIS YEAR,
16	NOT MUCH LOWER OR HIGHER. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT AT
17	ONE MILLION FOR NEXT YEAR AND 500,000 FOR THE
18	FOLLOWING YEAR.
19	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: SO IN ENTIRETY, WHAT
20	WOULD THE SUM BE FOR THE GRANTS MANAGEMENT
21	EXPENDI TURES?
22	DR. PLUNKETT: LET ME GIVE YOU THAT
23	ANSWER.
24	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: YOU CAN GO ON WITH YOUR
25	PRESENTATION AND SOMEBODY CAN CHECK ON THAT.

1	DR. PLUNKETT: THE REASON I HAD TO LOOK IS
2	I THINK, AS YOU RECALL FROM PREVIOUS YEARS, THERE
3	WAS A COUPLE OF PREVIOUS INCARNATIONS WHERE WE TRIED
4	TO USE OFF-THE-SHELF SOFTWARE ON IT, AND IT REALLY
5	ENDED UP NOT DOING THE JOB. MY ESTIMATE WOULD BE
6	ALL IN FOR THE CURRENT INCARNATION APPROXIMATELY \$4
7	MI LLI ON.
8	SO THAT'S IT FOR THE BACKWARDS LOOKING
9	STUFF. ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON THE PRIOR
10	FISCAL YEAR?
11	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: NO.
12	DR. PLUNKETT: SO IF IT'S ALL RIGHT, WE'LL
13	GO TO THE BUDGET FOR THE CURRENT YEAR, AND THEN I
14	CAN GIVE YOU A STATUS UPDATE THAT WE PRESENTED TO
15	OUR BOARD LAST TUESDAY.
16	MS. HOLTON-HODSON: IT'S ALSO UNDER TAB 6B
17	IN YOUR BINDERS.
18	DR. PLUNKETT: THIS IS TAB 6A ON YOUR
19	BINDER. AND SO ALONG THE TOP HERE YOU CAN SEE OUR
20	CURRENT COST CENTERS, WHICH IS THE SCIENCE OFFICE,
21	FINANCE, OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, AND OFFICE OF THE
22	CHAIR. GOING ACROSS, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, WE
23	WILL BE ADDING A FEW ADDITIONAL COST CENTERS OR
24	DEPARTMENTS TO BUCKET THESE CATEGORIES BETTER AND
25	ALSO REALLY TRY AND PROVIDE A LITTLE MORE CLARITY ON

1	WHAT EACH OF THESE COST CENTERS ARE FOR YOU AND FOR
2	OTHER MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AS WELL.
3	BUT WHAT I COULD DO, IF IT'D BE HELPFUL TO
4	YOU, IS JUST KIND OF COMPARE THE BUDGET FOR THE
5	CURRENT FISCAL YEAR AS COMPARED TO THE YEAR WHICH
6	ENDED IN JUNE OF 2011 AND JUST GIVE YOU A FLAG ON
7	SOME OF THE KEY VARIANCES IN THOSE ITEMS.
8	SO IN TERMS OF SALARIES AND BENEFITS, YOU
9	CAN SEE THAT WE ARE \$1.5 MILLION HIGHER FOR THE
10	CURRENT FISCAL YEAR THAN THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR. WE
11	HAVE ADDED SEVERAL HEAD COUNT ONTO THE ORGANIZATION,
12	46 VERSUS 50. AND IN ADDITION, THERE WERE SOME
13	MERIT INCREASES IN THE ORGANIZATION AS WELL. SO
14	COLLECTIVELY THOSE TWO ARE REALLY THE DRIVERS OF THE
15	I NCREASE HERE.
16	THE NEXT BIG ITEM HERE IS THE EXTERNAL
17	CONTRACTS. AS YOU SAW FROM THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR,
18	WE WERE OVER BUDGET ON THAT ITEM. AND THE BUDGET
19	FOR THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR ACTUALLY DOES REFLECT
20	AND IS IN CLOSE ACCORD WITH WHAT THE ACTUALS WERE
21	FOR THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR. WE DO EXPECT THAT NUMBER
22	TO COME DOWN IN THE COMING FISCAL YEAR FOR SEVERAL
23	REASONS. AS ONE EXAMPLE, ONE OF THE OPEN POSITIONS
24	WHICH WE HAVE IS FOR A SENIOR DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC
25	RELATIONS AND PATIENT ADVOCACY. AS WE BRING THAT

1	EXPERTISE IN-HOUSE, WE DO EXPECT THAT THE VALUE OF
2	THE EXTERNAL CONTRACTS WILL DECLINE.
3	OTHER BIG ITEMS HERE, I THINK THE REST OF
4	THESE ARE MOSTLY IN LINE OR NOT ENORMOUS IN TERMS OF
5	DOLLAR AMOUNTS. SO THE BUDGET TOTAL FOR THIS YEAR
6	IS \$18.50 MILLION. WHERE WE ARE TODAY, AND HAVING
7	TAKEN A LOOK AT THE FINANCIALS IN DETAIL THROUGH
8	NOVEMBER AND AT A TOP LEVEL THROUGH DECEMBER, I'M
9	COMFORTABLE THAT WE'LL BE AROUND A MILLION DOLLARS
10	UNDER BUDGET FOR THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR.
11	MEMBER KOVACH: COULD YOU COMMENT UNDER
12	SCIENCE MEETINGS, DO YOU HAVE AN ESTIMATE OF HOW
13	MANY MEETINGS THAT YOU WILL HOLD?
14	DR. PLUNKETT: I DO. ACTUALLY MORE THAN
15	AN ESTIMATE. I HAVE THE NUMBER PLANNED. SO LAST
16	YEAR WE HAD SEVEN MEETINGS TOTAL THROUGHOUT THE
17	FISCAL YEAR. THIS YEAR FROM JULY THROUGH DECEMBER
18	OF 2011, WE'VE ACTUALLY HAD FIVE. SO WE'RE AT A
19	MUCH HIGHER RUN RATE THAN WE WERE IN THE PRIOR
20	FISCAL YEAR.
21	ONE OF THE BIG DRIVERS FOR THAT IS AS CIRM
22	HAS HAD MORE PROGRAMS EITHER IN HUMAN CLINICAL
23	TRIALS OR HEADED TOWARDS HUMAN CLINICAL TRIALS, IT
23 24	TRIALS OR HEADED TOWARDS HUMAN CLINICAL TRIALS, IT REALLY BECOMES A MUCH MORE COMPLICATED PROJECT. AND

1	ADVISORY PANELS TO REALLY REVIEW AND HELP PROVIDE
2	INPUT ON PROGRAMS THAT ARE EITHER IN THE CLINIC OR
3	HEADED TOWARDS THE CLINIC. I THINK THAT THAT'S BEEN
4	REALLY VALUABLE BOTH FOR CIRM STAFF AS WELL AS FOR
5	THE PEOPLE HEADING INTO THESE PROGRAMS TO REALLY GET
6	THAT EXTERNAL INPUT ON THE PROGRAMS.
7	SO THAT'S ONE OF THE DRIVERS OF THE
8	INCREASE OF THAT EXPENSE. THAT'S THE DRIVER FOR THE
9	INCREASE IN THAT EXPENSE.
10	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: CAN YOU GO INTO MORE
11	SPECIFICITY ABOUT THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE POSITIONS
12	THAT WERE ADDED AND THE MERIT INCREASES VIS-A-VIS IF
13	ANY OF THOSE SERVICES WERE PROVIDED BY EXTERNAL
14	CONTRACTS?
15	DR. PLUNKETT: WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THE
16	LAST QUESTION?
17	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: SO LIFTING THE CAP ON 50
18	EMPLOYEES THAT CIRM HAS, AND SO I WAS JUST
19	WONDERING
20	DR. PLUNKETT: OH, YEAH. SO THE MERIT
21	INCREASE AVERAGE ACROSS THE ORGANIZATION WAS
22	APPROXIMATELY 3.5 PERCENT ACROSS ALL OF CIRM FOR THE
23	PRIOR FISCAL YEAR. THE OPEN POSITIONS WHICH WE
24	HAVE, SEVERAL OF THOSE ARE ONES WHICH WOULD REALLY
25	REPLACE EXTERNAL WORK WHICH HAS BEEN DONE; FOR
	10

1	EXAMPLE, THE SENIOR DIRECTOR OF PR WHICH I MENTIONED
2	PREVIOUSLY. A COUPLE OF THE OTHERS ARE NOT ACTUALLY
3	REPLACEMENTS. IT'S ACTUALLY NEW WORK. SO AS THE
4	VOLUME OF DOLLARS WHICH CIRM HAS AWARDED HAS GROWN
5	OVER TIME, WE'VE HAD TO ADD, FOR EXAMPLE, MORE
6	SCIENCE OFFICERS TO HELP MONITOR AND EVALUATE AND
7	REVIEW AND TRACK ALL THE PROGRAMS.
8	SO THERE'S A LITTLE BIT OF REPLACING THE
9	CONTRACTORS. I EXPECT THAT THERE WILL BE MORE OF
10	THAT IN THE COMING FISCAL YEAR.
11	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: JUST AS IT FURTHER
12	DEVELOPS, IF YOU WOULD SHARE THAT SPECIFICITY WITH
13	US. I'M JUST INTERESTED IN THE SUBSTANCE OF THE
14	WORK THAT'S DEVELOPING AND WHAT'S REPLACED, AND ARE
15	WE DOING IT MORE COST EFFICIENTLY BY BRINGING THAT
16	WORK INTERNALLY.
17	DR. PLUNKETT: WILL DO.
18	MEMBER LIPSON: ONE OF THE EXTERNAL
19	CONTRACTS HAS BEEN ABOUT HALF MILLION DOLLARS FOR
20	LAWYER FEES OR RETAINERS. AND YOU HAVE INTERNAL
21	LAWYERS, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN, AND THAT SEEMS LIKE A
22	LOT OF MONEY UNLESS YOU'RE IN ACTIVE LITIGATION.
23	DR. PLUNKETT: J.T., WOULD YOU LIKE TO
24	COMMENT ON THAT ONE?
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SURE. JON THOMAS,
	20
	<b>∠</b> ∪

1	CHAIR. HAVING BEEN A MEMBER OF A GOVERNING BOARD IN
2	A MAJOR PUBLIC AGENCY HERETOFORE, I'VE WORKED WITH A
3	NUMBER OF OUTSIDE GENERAL COUNSEL WHO COMPLEMENT THE
4	IN-HOUSE COUNSEL AT THAT AGENCY. AND THERE ARE MANY
5	ISSUES ON WHICH THE BOARD RELIES VERY HEAVILY ON THE
6	REMCHO FIRM, SPECIFICALLY JAMES HARRISON. WE
7	BELIEVE THAT HIS ADVICE ON ALL SORTS OF MATTERS IS
8	EXTREMELY HELPFUL TO THE AGENCY AND DIRECTLY
9	COMPLEMENTS WHAT WE ARE HEAR IN HOUSE FROM OUR
10	ATTORNEYS.
11	SO WE AND I, IN PARTICULAR, WHO DEALT WITH
12	OUTSIDE COUNSEL, AS SOMEBODY SITTING IN YOUR
13	POSITION, I FEEL VERY FORTUNATE TO HAVE SOMEBODY OF
14	HIS CALIBER TO HELP GUIDE US THROUGH WHAT IS SORT OF
15	AN ENDLESS HOST OF THORNY ISSUES.
16	MEMBER LIPSON: I STILL QUESTION WHETHER
17	SOME OF THAT COULD BE BROUGHT IN-HOUSE.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WE HAVEN'T TALKED ABOUT
19	JAMES COMING IN-HOUSE. I THINK IF YOU WERE TO SORT
20	OF SIT DOWN, AND WE'D BE HAPPY TO GO THROUGH SORT OF
21	THE LITANY OF ISSUES THAT WE DEAL WITH WHERE HE IS
22	ADVISING THE BOARD, THAT YOU WOULD FIND THAT IT'S
23	VERY VALUABLE. WHETHER IT COULD BE BROUGHT IN-HOUSE
24	OR NOT IS AN ENTIRELY SEPARATE MATTER, BUT I THINK
25	THIS IS MONEY EXTREMELY WELL SPENT GIVEN OUR JOB AS

STEWARDS OF THE PUBLIC FUNDS AND WANTING TO MAKE
SURE THAT WE HAVE ALL PROPER LEGAL PERSPECTIVES
COVERED.
MEMBER LOTT: I HAVE A QUESTION, IF I MAY
TOO, MR. THOMAS, BEFORE YOU LEAVE. IT'S NOT A LOT
OF MONEY, BUT THE COMMUNITY OUTREACH THAT YOU'VE GOT
IN HERE FOR CONTRACT FOR COMMUNITY OUTREACH
COORDINATION, WHAT TYPE OF PUBLIC ADVOCACY ARE WE
TALKING ABOUT? HOW MUCH IS DONE IN-HOUSE? WHAT'S
THE USE OF THIS OUTSIDE COORDINATOR TO DO THIS?
WHAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THIS?
CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WITH RESPECT TO THAT
PARTICULAR CONTRACT, WHICH HAS BEEN ONE THAT HAS
BEEN IN PLACE WHILE WE'VE NOT HAD SOMEBODY IN-HOUSE
SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED ON PATIENT ADVOCACY OUTREACH,
THAT HUSBAND AND WIFE TEAM, WHO'VE BEEN PLAYERS IN
THE PATIENT ADVOCATE COMMUNITY FOR MANY YEARS, WAS
CONTRACTED TO HOLD A SERIES OF EVENTS WHICH WE'VE
HAD IN VARIOUS PARTS OF THE STATE WHERE THEY, FOR
EXAMPLE, BRING IN PATIENT ADVOCATES FROM A
PARTICULAR AREA, THEY BRING IN SCIENTISTS TO SPEAK
ON A PARTICULAR TOPIC, AND WE WOULD HAVE THE
DIALOGUE TO INFORM THE PUBLIC ABOUT WHAT CIRM IS
DOI NG.
THEY ALSO HAVE AN EXTENSIVE NETWORK OF
22

1	PATIENT ADVOCACY GROUPS WITH WHICH THEY INTERACT ON
2	OUR BEHALF IN A VARIETY OF WAYS. AS WE LOOK TO
3	BRING IN A FULL-TIME DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC
4	COMMUNICATIONS AND PATIENT ADVOCACY OUTREACH, WE
5	ANTICIPATE THAT THAT WORK WITH THE PATIENT ADVOCACY
6	COMMUNITY WILL BE DONE ENTIRELY IN-HOUSE. AND WE
7	EXPECT THAT THAT WILL BE A COST SAVINGS WITH RESPECT
8	TO THAT PARTICULAR CONTRACT.
9	MEMBER LOTT: WHAT YOU DO IN-HOUSE NOW
10	VERSUS THIS CONTRACT, WHAT IF YOU ROLLED UP THE
11	TOTAL AMOUNT? HOW MUCH ARE WE SPENDING ON THAT?
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ON PATIENT ADVOCACY?
13	MEMBER LOTT: ALL ADVOCACY, PUBLIC
14	ADVOCACY, PATIENT, WHATEVER, HOW MUCH IS BEING SPENT
15	IN THIS AREA?
16	DR. PLUNKETT: \$1.3 MILLION. THAT'S
17	ALMOST EXACTLY HALF FOR THE FOUR EMPLOYEES AND HALF
18	FOR EXTERNAL.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THERE ARE ALL SORTS OF
20	THINGS. WE CAN GET YOU AN ITEMIZED LIST AS TO WHERE
21	THAT GOES, BUT IT COVERS EMPLOYEE COST, IT COVERS
22	ANNUAL REPORTS, COVERS A WHOLE BUNCH OF STUFF.
23	MEMBER LOTT: THANK YOU.
24	DR. PLUNKETT: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER
25	QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS YEAR'S BUDGET?

1	MEMBER LIPSON: AM I CORRECT THAT THERE
2	WAS AN INCREASE IN THE DIRECTOR'S SALARY FROM
3	137,000 TO 400,000?
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
5	DR. PLUNKETT: OF THAT, 250,000 PER YEAR
6	IS PAID FOR WITH DONATED FUNDS.
7	MEMBER LIPSON: WHERE IS THE DONATION
8	COMING FROM BECAUSE THAT COULD BE A CONFLICT OF
9	INTEREST RIGHT THERE?
10	DR. PLUNKETT: IT WAS MONEY THAT WAS
11	DONATED IN 2006 FROM A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL.
12	MEMBER LIPSON: IS THAT INDIVIDUAL IN THE
13	STEM CELL RESEARCH COMMERCIAL ASPECTS THEREOF?
14	DR. PLUNKETT: NOT AT ALL.
15	MEMBER LOTT: IT DOES BEG ANOTHER
16	QUESTION. I'M SORRY. I DON'T WANT TO INTERRUPT
17	YOU. DO YOU HAVE SOME GUIDELINES? DO WE HAVE
18	ADOPTED GUIDELINES, POLICIES ON DONATIONS? I
19	UNDERSTAND THIS ONE MAY NOT BE A PROBLEM; BUT IF
20	YOU'RE ACCEPTING DONATIONS, ARE THERE ANY GUIDELINES
21	THAT WOULD PROTECT US FROM CONFLICTS OF INTEREST?
22	DR. PLUNKETT: WE WILL BE TALKING ABOUT
23	OUR BROADER CONFLICT OF INTEREST PROVISIONS FURTHER
24	ON. WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED SIGNIFICANT DONATIONS IN
25	THE LAST FOUR OR FIVE YEARS. BUT I THINK AS WE
	24

1	START TO LOOK TOWARDS SOME OF OUR FUTURE
2	TRANSITIONS, ONE OF THE THINGS WHICH WE WILL BE
3	INCLUDING, BECAUSE WE'RE LOOKING AT OTHER FUNDING
4	OPPORTUNITIES TO CONTINUE STEM CELL RESEARCH ONCE
5	THE CURRENT STATE FUNDING SUNSETS, WE WILL BE
6	DEVELOPING CONFLICT REGULATIONS.
7	MR. HARRISON: GOOD MORNING. JAMES
8	HARRISON. THE BOARD DID ADOPT EARLY ON A POLICY
9	REGARDING GIFTS, AND ONE OF THE PROVISIONS OF THAT
10	POLICY IS TO PROHIBIT CIRM FROM ACCEPTING GIFTS FROM
11	INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE APPLICATIONS OR INTEREST IN
12	APPLICATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED OR PLAN TO
13	SUBMIT APPLICATIONS TO THE AGENCY.
14	MEMBER LOTT: ARE THEY BARRED FROM
15	SUBMITTING IN THE FUTURE? THIS MAY PROTECT THEM
16	WHAT PERIOD OF TIME ARE THEY BARRED FROM APPLYING?
17	MR. HARRISON: IF YOU WILL GIVE ME A
18	MINUTE, I'LL LOOK AT THE POLICY AND GET YOU THAT
19	RESPONSE. TO DATE THE AGENCY HAS RECEIVED LARGE
20	GIFTS I BELIEVE WE PROVIDED RUTH HOLTON-HODSON
21	WITH A LIST PRIMARILY FROM PRIVATE FAMILY
22	FOUNDATIONS. WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY GIFTS FROM
23	COMPANIES OR ACTIVE STEM CELL RESEARCHERS.
24	MEMBER LOTT: I UNDERSTAND. BUT DOES YOUR
25	POLICY, DOES YOUR REGULATION, EITHER YOU HAVE OR

1	YOU'RE PROPOSING, WILL IT PUT IN PLACE SOME
2	RESTRICTION ON ANY SORT OF RELATIONSHIP WITH CIRM AS
3	A RESULT OF HAVING MADE A DONATION?
4	MR. HARRISON: I'LL HAVE TO DOUBLE-CHECK.
5	MY RECOLLECTION IS SOMEWHAT VAGUE. BUT THE POLICY
6	DOES LIMIT IT AT THE OUTSET, AT LEAST, CONTRIBUTIONS
7	OR GIFTS WITH PEOPLE WITH INTEREST. LET ME CHECK
8	AND SEE WHAT POINT IN TIME THAT SPANS.
9	MEMBER LOTT: THANK YOU.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: JUST TO ADD JUST SO THE
11	COMMITTEE IS FULLY AWARE, THE DONATIONS THAT WE'RE
12	REFERRING TO WERE MADE BY RAY AND DAGMAR DOLBY, THE
13	DOLBY SOUND. THAT'S THE FUNDS THAT FORM THIS POOL
14	FROM 2006 FROM WHICH PART OF MY SALARY IS COVERED.
15	DR. PLUNKETT: SO IF THERE'S NO FURTHER
16	QUESTIONS ABOUT THE CURRENT YEAR BUDGET, I CAN GIVE
17	YOU THE UPDATE WHICH WE PRESENTED TO OUR BOARD LAST
18	WEEK AS WELL, SORT OF THE HALFWAY THROUGH REPORT.
19	SO I BELIEVE THIS IS ITEM 6B.
20	MS. HOLTON-HODSON: 6B.
21	DR. PLUNKETT: SO THE YEAR-TO-DATE
22	OPERATING EXPENSES FROM JULY THROUGH NOVEMBER FOR
23	THE ORGANIZATION IS \$4.85 MILLION AS COMPARED TO THE
24	PRIOR YEAR PERIOD OF \$4.34 MILLION. I'LL GO INTO
25	SOME MORE DETAILS ON THE NEXT AS WELL. THE GRANT

1	DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE FIRST FIVE MONTHS OF THE
2	FISCAL YEAR ARE \$88.8 MILLION. THE AMOUNT IS NET OF
3	A REPAYMENT FROM A COMPANY NAMED GERON TO WHOM CIRM
4	HAD MADE A LOAN AWARD EARLIER IN THE YEAR. THE
5	COMPANY UNDERTOOK A CHANGE IN STRATEGIC DIRECTION,
6	DECIDED TO NO LONGER PURSUE ITS SPINAL CORD INJURY
7	PROGRAM, AND ACCORDINGLY THEY RETURNED THE ENTIRE
8	LOAN DISBURSEMENT WITH INTEREST AS WELL AS PROVIDED
9	CIRM WITH THE WARRANTS ON THEIR COMMON STOCK WHICH
10	WERE PART OF THE LOAN AGREEMENT.
11	OUR AVAILABLE BOND CASH AS OF NOVEMBER 30,
12	2011, WAS \$203.2 MILLION, AND THIS IS ALSO NET OF
13	THE GERON REPAYMENT.
14	THE NEXT PAGE HAS SOME MORE DETAIL ON OUR
15	OPERATING EXPENSES. AND WHAT YOU WILL SEE IS THAT
16	THESE NUMBERS DO VERY CLOSELY TIE OUT TO WHERE WE
17	WERE. THE INCREASES AND DECREASES OVER THE PRIOR
18	YEAR, THE RATIONALE FOR EACH OF THESE DOES TIE UP
19	CLOSELY TO THE BUDGET FOR THE FULL YEAR. AS I
20	MENTIONED BEFORE, THE INCREASE FROM 46 TO 50 FTE'S
21	AS WELL AS THE MERIT ADJUSTMENTS ACCOUNT FOR THE
22	INCREASE IN EMPLOYEE EXPENSE, TIMING FOR THE
23	CONTRACTING AND THE GRANT REVIEWS AND THE ICOC LINE
24	ITEMS A LITTLE BIT FURTHER DOWN THE PAGE.
25	AND IN ADDITION, WE HAD A COUPLE OF BIG

1	MEETINGS. WE HAVE WHAT WE CALL OUR GRANTEE MEETING
2	WHERE WE GET ALL OF THE CIRM AWARDEES TOGETHER WHERE
3	THEY BOTH PRESENT RESEARCH RESULTS, EXCHANGE
4	INFORMATION, AND SO FORTH. WE'RE DOING THIS ABOUT
5	EVERY 18 MONTHS OR SO. THAT WAS IN SEPTEMBER OF
6	2011. AND IN ADDITION, THE WORLD STEM CELL MEETING
7	WAS IN PASADENA IN OCTOBER 2011. SO THAT'S THE
8	REASON FOR THE YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIANCE FOR THE
9	SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS.
10	BUT WHAT YOU'LL SEE WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE
11	DETAIL IS THAT IN THE PRIOR FISCAL YEAR, A NUMBER OF
12	THEM WERE BACK END LOADED INTO THE PRIOR YEAR, AND
13	THOSE ACTUALLY DID CATCH UP TOWARDS THE END OF THE
14	YEAR. ANY QUESTIONS ON THIS?
15	AND THEN JUST ONE LAST THING THAT I'LL
16	JUST TOUCH ON. ACCORDING TO THE TERMS OF
17	PROPOSITION 71, CIRM IS UNDER A VERY RIGOROUS CAP ON
18	EXPENSES. AS I THINK ALL OF YOU KNOW, THAT'S 3
19	PERCENT OF THE \$3 BILLION MAY BE USED FOR GRANTS
20	ADMINISTRATION AND AN ADDITIONAL 3 PERCENT MAY BE
21	USED FOR GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES.
22	ONE OF THE THINGS WHICH WE'LL REALLY BE
23	FOCUSING ON IS BUILDING A REALLY CONSERVATIVE SET OF
24	BUDGET ASSUMPTIONS SUCH THAT BY THE TIME OUR LAST
25	GRANT AWARDS ARE MADE AND THE LAST AWARDS ARE CLOSED

1	OUT AROUND 2021 THAT WE WILL BE UNDER THOSE BUDGET
2	EXPENSE CAPS.
3	THERE'S OBVIOUSLY A VIRTUALLY INFINITE
4	NUMBER OF PERMUTATIONS WHEREBY ONE COULD COME UP
5	WITH PROJECTIONS THAT KEEPS THE LIFETIME EXPENSES OF
6	CIRM UNDER THOSE 3-PERCENT CAPS. AND ONE OF THE
7	THINGS WHICH WE'LL BE FOCUSING ON AS WE DEVELOP OUR
8	BUDGET FOR THE NEXT YEAR, IN ADDITION TO THE
9	INCREASED TRANSPARENCY WHICH I ALREADY MENTIONED, IS
10	ENSURING THAT WE HAVE SUFFICIENT FUNDS THROUGH THE
11	END OF THE CURRENT STATE FUNDING AND MAKE SURE THAT
12	WE'RE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF PROPOSITION
13	71.
14	MEMBER LIPSON: WHAT HAPPENS WITH THE
15	MONEY RETURNED FROM GERON?
16	DR. PLUNKETT: THAT'S AVAILABLE TO BE
17	RE-AWARDED.
18	MEMBER LIPSON: IS THAT UNDER THE SAME
19	PROVISIONS AS THE BOND MONEY?
20	DR. PLUNKETT: YES. THAT'S JUST PART OF
21	THE \$3 BILLION, THAT'S CORRECT.
22	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: WHAT'S THE CURRENT
23	THINKING ABOUT THE LOAN PROGRAM? ORIGINALLY YOU
24	WERE LOOKING AT 500 MILLION. HAS THAT NUMBER
25	CHANGED OR
	20

1	DR. TROUNSON: I THINK WE ALAN
2	TROUNSON. YOU KNOW, I THINK WE NEED TO TAKE A CLOSE
3	LOOK AT THE LOAN PROGRAM, AND WE ARE DOING THAT
4	IN-HOUSE. THERE HAVE ONLY BEEN A RELATIVELY SMALL
5	NUMBER OF LOANS AWARDED AND ONE RETURNED. AND SO WE
6	WANTED TO TAKE A GOOD LOOK AT THAT TO SEE WHETHER
7	IT'S REALLY ACHIEVING WHAT WE SET OUT TO DO IN THE
8	FIRST PLACE. AND I THINK WE WILL COME UP WITH A
9	REVIEW OF THAT IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS, WHICH WE'LL
10	HAVE TO TAKE FORWARD TO THE ICOC AND SEE IF THEY'LL
11	CONSIDER EITHER A REVISION OF US DOING LOANS OR A
12	REVISION OF THE LOANS PROCEDURES.
13	BUT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE UNDER
14	CONSIDERATION AT THE PRESENT TIME. AND IT'S VERY
15	DIFFICULT TO SEE THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE
16	WHAT WE SET OUT TO DO IN THE BEGINNING WITH THAT
17	LOAN PROGRAM, AT LEAST IN THE TIME FRAMES WHICH YOU
18	SEE ON THESE GRAPHICS BECAUSE ANY RETURN OF LOAN
19	MONEY IS PROBABLY GOING TO BE A LONG WAY FORWARD.
20	AND SO THAT DISCUSSION WILL TAKE PLACE OVER THE NEXT
21	FEW MONTHS.
22	MEMBER KOVACH: I HAVE A QUESTION.
23	CLEARLY THE LOAN PROGRAM IS PRETTY WELL KNOWN, AND
24	BIOTECH COMPANIES IN GENERAL NEED MONEY. CAN YOU
25	COMMENT? SO ONE INTUIT THAT THERE'S PROBABLY
	20

1	DIALOGUE GOING ON. IS THERE THEMES THAT RESULT
2	RELATING TO WHY THERE'S NOT MORE OPPORTUNITIES? IS
3	IT THE PROPOSALS OF THE COMPANIES, OR IS IT THEIR
4	UNEASINESS, I GUESS, WITH SOME OF THE PROVISIONS?
5	DR. TROUNSON: WHEN IT COMES TO LOANS,
6	THERE GETS TO BE A LONG DIALOGUE WITH RESPECT TO
7	MANY ISSUES WITHIN THE LOANS. SOME COMPANIES HAVE
8	PROBLEMS WITH THE WARRANTS. SOME COMPANIES HAVE
9	PROBLEMS WITH OTHER ASPECTS OF THE LOANS PROGRAM.
10	AND REALLY EVERY TIME WE SIT DOWN WITH A COMPANY TO
11	LOOK AT THE LOANS, IT BECOMES A SORT OF LONG
12	DIALOGUE ABOUT, WELL, THIS IS VERY DIFFICULT FOR US
13	TO ACCEPT AND SO FORTH.
14	SO IN MANY RESPECTS I THINK IT WOULD BE A
15	LOT EASIER AND SIMPLER IF THEY WOULD BE PREPARED TO
16	TAKE GRANTS. AND YOU'RE PROBABLY AWARE THAT THE
17	DECISION OF THE ICOC WAS TO AWARD LOANS TO COMPANIES
18	WHERE THE QUANTUM WAS MORE THAN \$3 MILLION. AND I
19	ACTUALLY THINK THAT THAT IN ITSELF IS A BARRIER IF
20	THE COMPANIES HAVE PROBLEMS. IT'S NOT THAT THE
21	COMPANIES ALSO HAVE SOME PROBLEMS WITH GRANTS
22	BECAUSE OF THE IP ISSUES THAT ARE EMBEDDED IN THE
23	GRANTS. BUT STILL, THEY STILL HAVE MANY PROBLEMS
24	WITH THE LOANS.
25	SO WE'RE TRYING TO RATIONALIZE THIS, JIM,
	21

I	AND TRYING TO GET AN APPROPRIATE PROCESS. AND IN
2	MANY WAYS MY OWN PERSONAL VIEW IS TO TRY AND MAKE IT
3	A LOT SIMPLER THAN CURRENTLY TRYING TO NEGOTIATE
4	YOUR WAY THROUGH THE LOANS WHERE COMPANIES HAVE A
5	VERY BIG RANGE OF ISSUES AND THEY'RE ALL DIFFERENT.
6	PRIMARILY THEY'RE ALL DIFFERENT, BUT FREQUENTLY
7	THEY'RE ASSOCIATED WITH THE WARRANTS.
8	MEMBER LIPSON: THE QUESTION IS HOW IS A
9	COMPANY SELECTED? AND HOW DO WE MAKE SURE THERE'S
10	NO CONFLICT IN THE SELECTION OF THE COMPANY VERSUS
11	ANOTHER COMPANY, FOR EXAMPLE, AS FAR AS MAKING LOANS
12	OR GRANTS OR WHATEVER?
13	DR. TROUNSON: THEY TAKE EXACTLY THE SAME
14	PROCEDURE. THEY'RE WITHIN THE SAME RFA AS A
15	NOT-FOR-PROFIT. SO THEY UNDERGO EXACTLY THE SAME
16	REVIEW FROM THE SAME REVIEW TEAM AS THE
17	NOT-FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES. SO THEY'RE SCORED ON THE
18	VALUE OF THEIR SCIENCE ON THE PROPOSAL. THAT'S
19	SCORED BY 15 SCIENTISTS FROM THE GRANTS REVIEW TEAM.
20	AND THEN FINALLY THE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE GRANTS
21	REVIEW IS A COMBINATION OF THE PATIENT ADVOCATES,
22	SEVEN PATIENT ADVOCATES, TOGETHER WITH THOSE
23	REVIEWERS MAKE THE RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE BEST
24	PROPOSALS PRIMARILY SCIENTIFIC, BUT AT TIMES
25	ADJUSTED BY PROGRAMMATIC ISSUES GOING FORWARD.

1	SO IT ESSENTIALLY DOESN'T REALLY ENTER THE
2	DISCUSSION WHETHER IT'S A COMPANY OR A
3	NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATION. IT'S REALLY ABOUT THE
4	VALUE OF THE PROPOSAL GOING FORWARD IN THAT
5	PARTI CULAR RFA.
6	MEMBER LIPSON: WELL, BUT COMPANIES ARE A
7	LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT FROM SCIENTIFIC NOT-FOR-PROFIT
8	INSTITUTIONS. AND THE REGULATIONS AND THE PAYBACKS,
9	ALL SORTS OF THINGS HAVE TO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT,
10	IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN.
11	DR. TROUNSON: WELL, I THINK IF THEY HAVE
12	A LOAN, AND THERE ARE RATHER FEW LOANS THAT HAVE
13	BEEN MADE, THOSE LOANS HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AND THE
14	PARAMETERS SURROUNDING THOSE LOANS HAVE REALLY BEEN
15	DESCRIBED AND ARE AVAILABLE ON OUR WEBSITE. BUT
16	THEY'RE DIFFERENT TO GRANTS, YES. BUT I THINK THAT
17	WAS THE POINT THAT I WAS MAKING. THESE LOANS ARE
18	SOMETIMES DIFFICULT TO IT'S REALLY ABOUT
19	NEGOTIATING WITH THE COMPANIES TO FIND AN ACCEPTABLE
20	PROGRAM FOR THEIR LOAN. AND IN SOME RESPECTS I
21	THINK IT WOULD BE EASIER IF THEY'RE ALL UNDER
22	GRANTS.
23	THERE ARE NO PARTICULAR PAYBACKS EXCEPT
24	FOR THE BENEFITS THAT ARISE OUT OF GRANTS, THEREBY
25	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY WHICH IS TRACKED. AND UNDER
	22

1	COMMERCIALIZATION, THE STATE WOULD BE AWARDED THE
2	BENEFITS AS DESCRIBED UNDER THE GRANTS IRRESPECTIVE
3	OF WHETHER THEY'RE A COMPANY OR A NOT-FOR-PROFIT
4	I NSTI TUTI ON.
5	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: HOW DO YOU THINK ABOUT
6	THE PAYBACK RATE? YOU SAID THERE'S NOT NECESSARILY
7	A PAYBACK. I'M JUST TRYING TO GET A SENSE OF WHAT
8	THESE LOANS, IS THERE ANY ESTABLISHED CRITERIA? IF
9	THERE'S NOT ANY ESTABLISHED CRITERIA, HOW DO YOU
10	THINK ABOUT THE PAYBACK RATE?
11	DR. TROUNSON: WELL, THE PAYBACK RATE IS
12	ESSENTIALLY THE LOAN PLUS AN INTEREST. AND THAT
13	PART OF IT, YOU KNOW, IS A REASONABLE COMPONENT. SO
14	THE LOAN PLUS AN INTEREST OVER THE TIME. THE
15	WARRANTS WERE INCLUDED IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE FOR
16	THE RAPID EXPANSION OF THE COMPANY WHERE IT WAS
17	DOING PARTICULARLY WELL IN THAT COMMERCIALIZATION
18	PROGRAM. BUT THERE'S ALWAYS THE POSSIBILITY THAT
19	YOUR WARRANTS WILL GET DILUTED DOWN ANYWAY AS THE
20	PROCESS MOVES FORWARD.
21	SO I ACTUALLY THINK IT'S A BETTER PROSPECT
22	FOR US TO HAVE GRANTS RATHER THAN LOANS IN THE
23	LONGER TERM, BUT IT WAS THOUGHT TO BE A VERY VIABLE
24	WAY TOWARDS EVERGREENING THE INSTITUTION SOME YEARS
25	AGO. AND I'M NOT SURE THAT THAT'S BEING
	2.4

1	DEMONSTRATED. WE WOULD NEED A MUCH LARGER QUANTUM
2	OF LOANS TO MAKE THAT VIABLE.
3	MR. HARRISON: JUST TO FOLLOW UP ON THE
4	QUESTION REGARDING GIFTS. I DOUBLE-CHECKED THE
5	POLICY. AS I MENTION, IT DOES PROHIBIT CIRM FROM
6	ACCEPTING GIFTS FROM INDIVIDUALS AND INSTITUTIONS
7	THAT EITHER HAVE APPLIED FOR CIRM FUNDING OR THAT
8	INTEND TO APPLY. AND ONE OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE
9	GIFT POLICY IS THAT THE DONOR SIGN A LETTER OF
10	COMMITMENT IN WHICH THE DONOR ATTESTS TO THE FACT
11	THAT THE DONOR HAS NOT APPLIED FOR CIRM FUNDING AND
12	DOES NOT INTEND TO APPLY FOR CIRM FUNDING IN THE
13	FUTURE.
14	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: SO THAT'S VAGUE. BUT
15	JUST AN APPLICATION. SO SOMEBODY DOESN'T HAVE
16	ANYTHING IN THE PIPELINE RIGHT NOW. DO WE HAVE A
17	TIME CERTAIN DESIGNATION INTO THE FUTURE?
18	MR. HARRISON: WELL, I THINK THE LETTER OF
19	COMMITMENT IMPOSES NO TIME LIMIT WHATSOEVER. IN
20	OTHER WORDS, THE DONEE CONFIRMS THAT IT WILL NOT
21	APPLY FOR CIRM FUNDING. SO PRESUMABLY IF WE HAD A
22	SITUATION WHERE A DONOR, NOTWITHSTANDING THAT LETTER
23	OF COMMITMENT, TRIED TO APPLY FOR CIRM FUNDING, WE
24	WOULD IDENTIFY THAT AS A VIOLATION OF THE LETTER OF
25	COMMI TMENT.
	35

1	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: HAVE YOU COMPLETED YOUR
2	REMARKS?
3	DR. PLUNKETT: YEAH. JUST PUT UP THE
4	SLIDE HERE FOR THE NEXT AGENDA LITEM, 6C. ANY OTHER
5	QUESTIONS, THOUGH, ON THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR?
6	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: ACTUALLY BACK TO MR.
7	HARRISON'S LINE. SO HOW DO YOU DIFFERENTIATE
8	BETWEEN AN INDIVIDUAL AFFILIATED WITH A COMPANY OR
9	ORGANIZATION AND THE COMPANY/ORGANIZATION ITSELF?
10	MR. HARRISON: WELL, FOR PURPOSES OF
11	GIFTS, THUS FAR IT HAS NOT BEEN AN ISSUE. THE GIFTS
12	POLICY HAS A PROCEDURE WHEREBY THE EXECUTIVE
13	COMMITTEE OF THE AGENCY HAS THE AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT
14	GIFTS IN A CERTAIN RANGE. IT'S REQUIRED, THEN, TO
15	REPORT THE DONOR OF THOSE GIFTS TO THE BOARD AT THE
16	BOARD'S NEXT MEETING. GIFTS OVER A CERTAIN LEVEL
17	REQUIRE BOARD APPROVAL AT A PUBLIC MEETING. WE HAVE
18	NOT YET HAD AN INSTANCE WHERE WE'VE HAD AN
19	INDIVIDUAL MAKE A GIFT WHO HAS BEEN ASSOCIATED IN
20	ANY WAY WITH A FUNDING APPLICATION. AS I SAID, MOST
21	OF THE GIFTS HAVE COME FROM PRIVATE FAMILY
22	FOUNDATIONS, NOT FROM INDIVIDUALS. SO IT HASN'T
23	BEEN AN ISSUE.
24	MEMBER LIPSON: BUT YOU COULD HAVE AN
25	INDIVIDUAL THAT WAS A MAJOR STOCKHOLDER IN A COMPANY

1	WHO WOULDN'T BE PART OF THE APPLICATION WHO COULD
2	GIVE A GIFT WITH THE INTENT OF CURRYING FAVOR.
3	MR. HARRISON: WELL, I SUPPOSE THAT WOULD
4	BE THEORETICALLY POSSIBLE, BUT REMEMBER THE WAY WE
5	REVIEW APPLICATIONS AT THE BOARD LEVEL IS ON A BLIND
6	BASIS. BOARD MEMBERS ARE PROVIDED WITH A PUBLIC
7	ABSTRACT OF THE APPLICATION. IT DOES NOT IDENTIFY
8	THE INSTITUTION, WHETHER IT'S A COMPANY OR AN
9	ACADEMIC INSTITUTION, NOR DOES IT IDENTIFY THE
10	PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR. IT'S A SUMMARY OF THE
11	PROPOSAL, A SUMMARY OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP'S
12	REVIEW OF THAT PROPOSAL. AND THE DISCUSSION OF THE
13	APPLICATION OCCURS ONLY IN REFERENCE TO THE
14	APPLICATION NUMBER.
15	SO GENERALLY BOARD MEMBERS DON'T KNOW WHO
16	THE APPLICANT IS WHEN THEY MAKE A DECISION WHETHER
17	OR NOT TO FUND. SO THE FACT THAT A STOCKHOLDER IN A
18	COMPANY MIGHT MAKE A DONATION TO CIRM AS A WAY TO
19	ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE BOARD WOULD NOT BE A VERY
20	EFFECTIVE MEANS OF DOING SO.
21	MEMBER LIPSON: THAT'S UNLESS YOU'RE
22	PUTTING IN GRANTS THAT ARE SPECIFIC ENOUGH YOU CAN
23	IDENTIFY THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR OR THE
24	INVESTIGATION GROUP.
25	MR. HARRISON: I SUPPOSE THAT MIGHT
	37

1	CONCEIVABLY BE POSSIBLE. BUT REMEMBER IN MANY OF
2	THESE ROUNDS, THERE ARE 50, 60 APPLICATIONS. SO I
3	THINK IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT TO DETERMINE THE
4	PROVENANCE OF ANY PARTICULAR APPLICATION AMONG THAT
5	GROUP.
6	WE HAVE SET IN PLACE VERY RIGOROUS
7	CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. THEY
8	GO ABOVE AND BEYOND WHAT STATE LAW REQUIRES. AND WE
9	ENFORCE THEM VERY RIGOROUSLY, AND THE BLIND REVIEW
10	IS PART OF THAT. OBVIOUSLY NO ORGANIZATION CAN
11	COMPLETELY ELIMINATE THE POSSIBILITY OF THE
12	POTENTIAL FOR CONFLICTS OF INTEREST; BUT FROM MY
13	PERSPECTIVE, THE AGENCY HAS DONE A VERY GOOD JOB AT
14	SETTING UP POLICIES AND PROCEDURES THAT DO AS MUCH
15	AS POSSIBLE TO PROTECT AGAINST THOSE SORTS OF ISSUES
16	ARI SI NG.
17	MEMBER LOTT: MAY I ASK THIS QUESTION? I
18	DID SOME RESEARCH ON THE INTERNET. THAT'S A
19	DANGEROUS THING TO DO. ONE OF YOUR CRITICS, DAVID
20	JENSEN, I'M SURE YOU KNOW HIM, TWO YEARS AGO THIS
21	MONTH WROTE IN SOME TESTIMONY TO YOU, I DON'T KNOW
22	WHAT THE FORUM WAS, BUT I'M JUST GOING TO READ THIS
23	QUOTE AND SEE WHAT YOUR RESPONSE WAS THEN AND WHERE
24	WE ARE NOW.
25	HE SAID THEN THAT TODAY \$1.1 BILLION OF
	20

1	THE 1.2 BILLION IN CIRM FUNDING SO FAR HAS GONE TO
2	INSTITUTIONS TIED TO MEMBERS OF THE CIRM GOVERNING
3	BOARD. I DON'T REMEMBER. WHAT WAS THE RESPONSE TO
4	THAT THEN, AND HOW WOULD THAT BE DESCRIBED TODAY?
5	MR. HARRISON: I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE
6	RESPONSE WAS AT THAT TIME. BUT ONE OF THE THINGS
7	YOU HAVE TO BEAR IN MIND IS THAT THE DESIGN OF THE
8	BOARD WAS TO DRAW UPON PEOPLE WITH EXPERTISE IN
9	PARTICULAR AREAS, INCLUDING MANAGING LARGE RESEARCH
10	PORTFOLIOS. SO THE DESIGN OF THE LAW DRAWS PEOPLE
11	FROM INSTITUTIONS.
12	MEMBER LOTT: I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT YOUR
13	CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY, HOW DOES IT ADDRESS
14	THAT? HOW DO YOU MANAGE THAT WITH THE BOARD?
15	MR. HARRISON: CERTAINLY. FIRST OF ALL,
16	PRIOR TO ANY REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS BY THE BOARD,
17	BOARD MEMBERS ARE SENT A LIST, NOT IDENTIFIED WITH
18	ANY PARTICULAR APPLICATION, BUT A LIST OF
19	INSTITUTIONS AND INVESTIGATORS WHO WOULD RECEIVE
20	FUNDING FROM THE APPLICATION IF IT WAS APPROVED BY
21	THE BOARD. THEY GO THROUGH THE LIST AND INDICATE
22	THOSE INDIVIDUALS AND INSTITUTIONS WITH WHOM THEY
23	HAVE ANY KIND OF FINANCIAL RELATIONSHIP.
24	STAFF THEN TAKES THAT DATA, REVIEWS THE
25	MEMBER STATEMENTS OF ECONOMIC INTEREST TO SEE IF

1	ANYTHING WAS OVERLOOKED, AND PROVIDES THE MEMBERS
2	WITH A LIST PRIOR TO EACH MEETING THAT IDENTIFIES BY
3	APPLICATION NUMBER THOSE APPLICATIONS IN WHICH THEY
4	HAVE AN INTEREST. THE MEMBERS ARE DIRECTED THAT
5	THEY ARE PROHIBITED FROM PARTICIPATING IN AND
6	DISCUSSING OR VOTING ON AN APPLICATION THAT'S
7	IDENTIFIED ON THEIR LIST.
8	SO MEMBERS ARE ABSOLUTELY BARRED FROM
9	PARTICIPATING IN ANY DISCUSSION IF THEIR EMPLOYER
10	SUBMITS AN APPLICATION. AND WHEN WE DO A ROLL CALL
11	VOTE ON A PARTICULAR APPLICATION, THOSE MEMBERS WHO
12	HAVE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST ARE NOT CALLED. THEY
13	DON'T VOTE AT ALL.
14	MEMBER LOTT: LET ME TAKE IT A LITTLE BIT
15	FURTHER. I SERVE ON A COUPLE OF BOARDS. ONE OF THE
16	BOARDS THAT I SERVED ON, THAT I STILL DO SERVE ON,
17	HAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY THAT GOES A LITTLE
18	BIT BEYOND STATE LAW AS WELL. THEY TAKE IT ALL THE
19	WAY OUT TO GRANDCHILDREN, IF YOUR GRANDCHILDREN HAS
20	ANY, WHICH I THINK TAKES IT A LITTLE BIT TOO FAR
21	PERSONALLY. HOW FAR ABOVE STATE LAW DO WE GO HERE?
22	MR. HARRISON: ON A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT
23	LEVELS, THE AGENCY GOES BEYOND STATE LAW. LET ME
24	JUST HIGHLIGHT A COUPLE. WITH RESPECT TO BOARD
25	MEMBERS, THEY'RE PRECLUDED FROM EVER RECEIVING ANY

1	SALARY SUPPORT THROUGH A CIRM GRANT. IN TERMS OF
2	THEIR FAMILY, UNDER STATE LAW IT'S LIMITED TO
3	IMMEDIATE FAMILY, AND THE BOARD HAS NOT ADOPTED A
4	POLICY THAT GOES BEYOND THAT.
5	FOR STAFF, THEY'RE PRECLUDED FROM HOLDING
6	FINANCIAL INTEREST IN A COMPANY THAT DEVOTES MORE
7	THAN 5 PERCENT OF ITS BUDGET TO STEM CELL RESEARCH.
8	THEY SIMPLY CAN'T HAVE THOSE INTERESTS AS PART OF
9	THEIR INVESTMENT PORTFOLIOS. WITH RESPECT TO THE
10	WORKING GROUPS, THE EXPERT GROUPS THAT PROVIDE
11	RECOMMENDATIONS AND ADVICE TO THE AGENCY, WE SCREEN
12	NOT ONLY FOR FINANCIAL INTEREST, BUT WE ALSO SCREEN
13	FOR PROFESSIONAL AND PERSONAL INTEREST.
14	SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU ARE A MEMBER OF
15	OUR GRANTS WORKING GROUP AND YOU'VE CO-AUTHORED A
16	PUBLICATION WITH AN INVESTIGATOR WHO SUBMITS AN
17	APPLICATION TO CIRM WITHIN THE LAST THREE YEARS,
18	YOU'RE PRECLUDED FROM PARTICIPATING IN THE REVIEW OF
19	THAT APPLICATION. OR IF YOU HAVE A LONG-STANDING
20	PUBLIC PERSONAL DISPUTE WITH THE INDIVIDUAL, YOU'RE
21	PRECLUDED FROM PARTICIPATING IN THAT REVIEW, AND YOU
22	HAVE TO LEAVE THE ROOM WHEN THE APPLICATION IS
23	DISCUSSED. THAT GOES WELL BEYOND STATE LAW, WHICH,
24	OF COURSE, ONLY DEALS WITH FINANCIAL CONFLICTS OF
25	I NTEREST.

1	SO AT EACH LAYER OF THE PROCESS, FROM THE
2	BOARD TO THE STAFF TO THE WORKING GROUP, WE HAVE
3	REQUIREMENTS THAT GO BEYOND STATE LAW.
4	MEMBER LOTT: THAT'S HELPFUL.
5	MEMBER LIPSON: EXCUSE ME THOUGH. BY
6	GIVING NUMBERS OF THE GRANT BEING REVIEWED TO THE
7	VARIOUS PEOPLE, LIKE A DEAN OF A MEDICAL SCHOOL, THE
8	OTHER PEOPLE ON THE BOARD WILL KNOW THAT CAME
9	POTENTIALLY FROM THAT INSTITUTION AND, THEREFORE,
10	KNOW WHO'S DOING STEM CELL RESEARCH AT THAT
11	INSTITUTION. AND, THEREFORE, YOU'RE TELLING THEM
12	WHO'S PERHAPS APPLYING.
13	MR. HARRISON: WELL, I WOULD RESPECTFULLY
14	DISAGREE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON. WE DO A ROLL
15	CALL VOTE ON APPLICATIONS THAT ARE THE SUBJECT OF A
16	PARTICULAR MOTION. AND IF A MEMBER HAS AN INTEREST,
17	AND REMEMBER THIS IS A 29-MEMBER BOARD, WE SIMPLY
18	DON'T CALL THAT MEMBER'S NAME WHEN WE GO THROUGH THE
19	ROLL CALL. SO IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT, I THINK, GIVEN
20	THE SPEED WITH WHICH ROLL CALLS ARE TAKEN AND THE
21	SIZE OF THE BOARD, FOR SOMEONE TO FIGURE OUT, WELL,
22	DR. PIZZO FROM STANFORD WASN'T CALLED AND,
23	THEREFORE, THIS MUST COME FROM STANFORD.
24	REMEMBER THERE ARE A VARIETY OF REASONS
25	THAT AN INDIVIDUAL MIGHT RECUSE THEMSELVES ASIDE
	40

1	FROM THE FACT THAT IT'S AN APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY
2	HIS EMPLOYER. MAYBE HE GAVE A SPEECH AT ANOTHER
3	INSTITUTION AND RECEIVED COMPENSATION FOR IT. MAYBE
4	HE'S DECIDED TO ABSTAIN FOR SOME OTHER REASON. SO I
5	THINK IT WOULD ACTUALLY BE FAIRLY DIFFICULT IN MOST
6	INSTANCES FOR A PERSON TO FIGURE THAT OUT.
7	MEMBER LIPSON: PERHAPS.
8	MEMBER BRUNNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A
9	QUESTION. GETTING BEYOND THE CONCEPTUAL AND
10	POTENTIAL FOR CONFLICT, HAVE THERE BEEN ANY ACTUAL
11	ALLEGATIONS OF A CONFLICT OR ALLEGATIONS OF
12	CONFLICTS? AND IF SO, WHAT HAVE THEY HAVE BEEN AND
13	WHAT'S BEEN THE DISPOSITION OF THOSE?
14	MR. HARRISON: SURE. WE HAVE HAD ONE
15	INSTANCE IN WHICH A COMPLAINT WAS FILED AGAINST A
16	MEMBER OF THE BOARD RELATING TO A TELEPHONE CALL
17	THAT HE MADE AFTER THE BOARD HAD APPROVED AN AWARD
18	TO HIS INSTITUTION. HE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT HE HAD
19	MADE A MISTAKE. THE MATTER WAS REFERRED TO THE FAIR
20	POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION, WHICH ULTIMATELY
21	SENT HIM A WARNING LETTER, AND THE MATTER WAS
22	DISPOSED OF IN THAT FASHION.
23	WE HAVE HAD ANOTHER INSTANCE WHERE,
24	THROUGH A MISTAKE IN A COMMUNICATION BY STAFF, WE
25	HAD MEMBERS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD WHO SIGNED

1	THAT TIME FRAME SOME OF THE IMPORTANT ELEMENTS OF
2	THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ORGANIZATION.
3	AND IT'S REALLY NOW IN THE LAST YEAR AND A
4	HALF THAT WE'VE MOVED BEYOND FUNDING THE
5	INFRASTRUCTURE, THE CAPACITY IN CALIFORNIA TOWARDS
6	FUNDING THE TRANSLATION AND THE EARLY CLINICAL
7	STUDI ES.
8	SO WE'RE ACTUALLY MOVING PRETTY QUICKLY.
9	SO IF YOU LOOK AT THE CONTRIBUTIONS IN THE VARIOUS
10	AREAS, WE HAVE THE FACILITIES SHOWN UP THERE IN THE
11	ORANGE BAR THAT GOES RIGHT ACROSS THE PANEL FROM THE
12	BASIC RESEARCH TO THE CLINICAL END, THE PIPELINE.
13	THE INFRASTRUCTURE ON THE INTELLECTUAL ARE THE
14	PEOPLE, THE AWARDS TO CRITICAL PEOPLE, THE SEED
15	AWARDS BRINGING IN CRITICAL PEOPLE, PROVIDING THEM
16	WITH GRANTS TO GET PRIMARILY THE BASIC RESEARCH AND
17	THE EARLY TRANSLATIONAL WORK FUNDED. AND THEN
18	FUNDAMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE IS THE BASIC SCIENCE
19	AWARDS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE. YOU CAN SEE, THEN, ON
20	THE BOTTOM WE'VE STARTED TO FUND THE TRANSLATIONAL
21	AND EARLY CLINICAL AWARDS IN THIS LAST YEAR.
22	SO LOOKING AT THE MAJOR MILESTONES FOR THE
23	ORGANIZATION, THERE ARE OVER 450 AWARDS THAT HAVE
24	BEEN MADE TO 59 CALIFORNIA ENTITIES. THEY'RE BOTH
25	INSTITUTIONS AND COMPANIES. \$1.3 BILLION IN GRANTS
	45

THAT ARE ALLOCATED ALREADY AT THIS TIME. TWELVE
INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION FUNDING AGREEMENTS ARE
IN PLACE WITH OTHER COUNTRIES, AND AGREEMENTS WITH
FOUR U.S. STATES AND FOUNDATIONS HAVE BEEN
ESTABLISHED. THAT INCLUDES JUST RECENTLY THE
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH.
SO WE'RE WORKING COLLABORATIVELY WITH
THESE GROUPS, AND THEY ARE PROVIDING ADDITIONAL
FUNDS TO OUR FUNDS. THEY' VE AGREED TO PROVIDE OVER
138 MILLION. IN ACTUALS 60 MILLION HAS COME ALREADY
FROM THOSE COLLABORATIVE PROJECTS. THERE ARE 19 OF
THEM AND SOME OTHERS THAT ARE IN PLACE. THIS
INCLUDES THE EUROPEAN, ASIAN SECTOR, JAPAN, CHINA,
EUROPE, BRITAIN, GERMANY, FRANCE, SPAIN, AND SO ON.
SO THIS IS A NETWORK THAT WE'VE SET UP
THAT'S REALLY ENABLING OUR SCIENTISTS TO PERFORM AT
THE VERY HIGHEST LEVEL. YOU WILL NOTE THAT WE'VE
GOT OVER A THOUSAND PUBLICATIONS WHICH IS AN
INCREDIBLE NUMBER OF PUBLICATIONS IN THE SCIENTIFIC
LITERATURE FROM THE WORK THAT WE'VE SUPPORTED.
IMPORTANTLY, 30 PERCENT, 29, 30 PERCENT ARE IN WHAT
WE CALL THE HIGH IMPACT FACTOR JOURNALS. SO THESE
ARE THE IMPACT FACTOR JOURNALS ABOVE TEN. SO THE
ONES THAT REALLY HAVE THE PRIMARY MEANING IN THE
WHOLE FIELD, IN THE WHOLE FIELD OF SCIENCE. SO

1	WE'RE ACTUALLY HAVING A VERY DOMINANT EFFECT IN
2	WORLD STEM CELL RESEARCH.
3	THERE HAVE BEEN OVER A HUNDRED NEW STEM
4	CELL RESEARCHERS THAT HAVE COME TO CALIFORNIA, AND
5	THEY'VE BROUGHT WITH THEM A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF
6	MONEY EACH TIME THEY COME. THESE ARE PEOPLE WHO
7	HAVE NIH AWARDS OR OTHER AWARDS FROM OTHER FUNDING
8	BODIES THAT HAVE COME INTO CALIFORNIA. AND WE
9	SHOULD BE ABLE TO QUANTITATE THAT IN DUE COURSE VERY
10	ACCURATELY FOR YOU. WE HAVE 45 TRANSLATIONAL
11	PROJECTS MOVING TOWARDS THE CLINIC. THERE HAVE BEEN
12	THREE CLINICAL TRIALS ACHIEVED ALREADY, AND ONE OF
13	THOSE IS OUT IN PHASE III. THE OTHERS ARE IN PHASE
14	II, AND THESE HAVE ALREADY GONE TO THE
15	PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY. SO HOPEFULLY IN DUE COURSE
16	THE STATE WILL BENEFIT FROM THE DEVELOPMENTS IN
17	THOSE AREAS.
18	AND WE HAVE 14 DISEASE TEAMS OF
19	PRECLINICAL AWARDED PROJECTS WHICH HAVE UP TO \$20
20	MILLION IN GRANT MONEY FROM US, PLUS OTHER GRANTING
21	FROM OUR COLLABORATIVE FUNDING PARTNERS THAT ARE
22	KEYED IN TO GET AN AWARD OR REGISTRATION AWARD OF A
23	CLINICAL TRIAL WITHIN A FOUR-YEAR TIME FRAME. SO
24	THERE ARE SEVERAL WHICH WE EXPECT TO GO TO CLINICAL
25	TRIAL THIS COMING YEAR, THIS YEAR OR NEXT YEAR.
	47

1	WE HAD A FIRST HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELL
2	CLINICAL TRIAL FUNDED IN SPINAL CORD INJURY.
3	UNFORTUNATELY THE COMPANY DECIDED TO DROP THEIR STEM
4	CELL PROGRAM AND CONCENTRATE ON THE CANCER PROGRAM.
5	THIS WAS VERY UNFORTUNATE. WE'RE STILL HOPING THAT
6	PROJECT WILL BE PICKED UP BY ANOTHER ENTITY, BUT WE
7	HAVE TO WAIT TO SEE IF THAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN.
8	WE'VE BEEN WORKING VERY INTENSELY WITH THE
9	FDA TO ENSURE THAT THIS PATHWAY, THE LEARNING IN
10	THIS PATHWAY IS PASSED ON TO ALL OUR PROGRAMS THAT
11	ARE GOING.
12	SO IN TERMS OF THE FIVE-YEAR GOALS, WHICH
13	I THINK WAS THESE WERE SET UP IN OUR STRATEGIC
14	PLAN. I WANTED TO TELL YOU THAT WE'VE REALLY
15	BASICALLY MET ALL OF THESE. AND THE FIRST ONE, CIRM
16	GRANTEES HAD SIX THERAPIES BASED ON STEM CELL
17	RESEARCH IN PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT. THESE ARE THE
18	14 DISEASE TEAMS. AND FOUR PROJECTS WITH
19	THERAPEUTIC CANDIDATES ARE IN PRECLINICAL
20	DEVELOPMENT. AND SIX OF THEM ARE ON TRACK TO BEGIN
21	IND-ENABLING PRECLINICAL DEVELOPMENT BY THE END OF
22	2012, SOMEWHERE IN 2013. AND AS I SAID, THERE ARE
23	THREE OTHERS THAT HAVE ALREADY GONE THERE FROM THE
24	BASIC SCIENCE. SO WE'VE ESSENTIALLY MET THAT GOAL
25	PRETTY WELL.
	48
	10

1	CIRM GRANTEES ARE DEVELOPING METHODS FOR
2	MAKING STEM CELL LINES. WE'VE DONE THAT PLUS.
3	WE'VE MET THAT GOAL EASILY.
4	THE THIRD GOAL, GRANTEES WILL HAVE
5	SUCCESSFULLY CREATED DISEASE-SPECIFIC STEM CELL
6	LINES WITH FOUR DISEASES. WE'VE DONE THAT MUCH
7	MORE. WE'VE GOT MANY MORE THAN THOSE FOUR DISEASES
8	DONE.
9	GRANTEES WILL HAVE DEVELOPED METHODS FOR
10	GROWING STEM CELLS. WE'VE DONE. THAT'S ALREADY
11	DONE. CIRM WILL ENABLE ESTABLISHMENT OF A STEM CELL
12	BANK. CELL LINES HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED AND THE RFA
13	CONCEPT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD AT THE END OF
14	LAST YEAR, SO WE EXPECT TO GET THAT DONE THIS YEAR.
15	AND THE INVESTIGATORS WILL HAVE DEMONSTRATED METHODS
16	FOR INDUCING IMMUNE TOLERANCE IN ANIMAL MODELS. WE
17	HAVE 19 STUDIES UNDER WAY FROM AN RFA THAT WAS
18	RELEASED IN 2010, PLUS TEN OTHER STUDIES WHICH HAVE
19	COME FROM OUR BASIC SCIENCE AREA. AND SIX
20	PUBLICATIONS HAVE ALREADY BEEN MADE ON TOLERANCE.
21	IN THE SEVENTH GOAL, WE'LL INCREASE THE
22	WORKFORCE OF STEM CELL RESEARCHERS IN CALIFORNIA.
23	THERE ARE 914 UNDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE STUDENTS,
24	POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS, AND CLINICAL FELLOWS THROUGH
25	THE VARIOUS TRAINING GRANTS. A PILOT VERSION OF THE
	49

1	CREATIVITY AWARDS SUPPORTED ANOTHER 22 HIGH SCHOOL
2	STUDENTS IN THE PROGRAM. AND 45 INVESTIGATORS,
3	THREE MAJOR RESEARCH LEADERSHIP AWARDEES, AND OVER A
4	HUNDRED PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR SCIENTISTS MOVED TO
5	CALI FORNI A.
6	SO I THINK WE'RE DOING THAT. WE'LL
7	CONTINUE CLEARLY TO DO THAT. AND WE'RE BRINGING
8	BIOTECH COMPANIES. THE BIOTECH COMPANIES ARE
9	GROWING IN CALIFORNIA. MAJOR NEW COMPANIES ARE
10	RELOCATING TO CALIFORNIA. WE HOPE TO HAVE SOME VERY
11	MAJOR COMPANIES COME IN THIS YEAR, AND EVERYTHING
12	TELLS US THAT THAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. AND THEY'RE
13	COMING HERE BECAUSE CIRM'S PRESENT. THEY WILL BE
14	BRINGING MANUFACTURING, AND I THINK IT'S GOING TO DO
15	A LOT OF GOOD TO THE CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY.
16	WILL HAVE ESTABLISHED TOOLS FOR TOXICITY
17	TESTING. WE'VE DONE THAT.
18	THE NINTH GOAL WAS CIRM WILL HAVE ENABLED
19	EFFECTIVE PARTNERSHIPS IN STEM CELL RESEARCH BETWEEN
20	SCIENTIFIC TEAMS IN NONPROFIT AND COMMERCIAL
21	SECTORS. WE HAVE TEN MAJOR PROJECTS INVOLVING
22	ACADEMIC AND BIOTECH INDUSTRY COMPANIES. SO WE'RE
23	WELL AND TRULY ON THE WAY THERE. WE'LL GET MORE NOW
24	THAT WE'RE MORE FOCUSED ON THE TRANSLATION AND
25	CLINICAL TRIALS.

1	AND IN THE LAST, CIRM WILL HAVE
2	ESTABLISHED NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL
3	COLLABORATIONS. AS I SAID, WE'VE 18 MOU'S
4	ALTOGETHER WITH INTERNATIONAL AND NATIONAL AGENCIES
5	AND 19 PROJECTS PLUS OTHERS IN REVIEW. \$60 MILLION
6	HAS COME DIRECTLY TO THOSE PROJECTS. SO I THINK
7	THAT'S EFFECTIVE.
8	SO THERE ARE THE PRINCIPAL GOALS, AND I
9	THINK IN THAT FIVE YEARS THAT WE'VE ESSENTIALLY MADE
10	THAT.
11	JUST TO ALERT YOU THE WAY I SEE US REALLY
12	PUSHING ON AT THE MOMENT, WE'RE WANTING TO EMBED
13	STEM CELLS WITH GENOMICS IN A NEW MAJOR RESEARCH
14	PLATFORM. YOU WILL BE INTERESTED, I THINK,
15	CONTROLLER, THAT THE HUMAN GENOME IS RETURNING
16	SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 600 TO \$1400 FOR EVERY ONE DOLLAR
17	INVESTED. SO IF WE CAN MAKE STEM CELLS PRODUCE TENS
18	OF, HUNDREDS OF DOLLARS FOR THAT DOLLAR INVESTMENT,
19	I THINK WE'LL HAVE FELT VERY GOOD ABOUT THIS. I
20	HOPE WE CAN ACHIEVE THAT, BUT EVERYTHING TELLS ME
21	THAT IF YOU LINK TOGETHER WITH GENOMICS, WE WILL
22	ACTUALLY DO THAT VERY EASILY.
23	ESTABLISH CIRM IPS CELL BANK. THE
24	CRITICAL DISEASE IS TELLING US ACTUALLY WHAT HUMAN
25	DISEASE IS RATHER THAN STUDYING THE MOUSE, WHICH IS

1	A MODEL OF HUMAN DISEASE. WE CAN ACTUALLY NOW STUDY
2	THE HUMAN DISEASE BY TAKING SAMPLES FROM PATIENTS
3	WITHIN A DISEASE POPULATION. THIS IS A HUGE BENEFIT
4	AND SOMETHING THAT WE WANT TO GROW, AND WE'RE GOING
5	TO DO THAT OVER THIS YEAR AND NEXT YEAR.
6	WE WANT TO GROW INDUSTRY OR STRATEGIC
7	PARTNERSHIPS LINKED WITH ACADEMIC AND MEDICINE. AND
8	WE NOW KNOW THAT MAJOR PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES WANT
9	TO LINK WITH US, MAJOR BIOTECH COMPANIES WANT TO
10	LINK WITH US TO CO-FUND PARTICULARLY THE MORE
11	CLINICAL END OF THE PROGRAM IN ORDER TO TAKE THESE
12	PROJECTS WHEN THEY PASS THROUGH WHAT WE CALL PHASE
13	II, WHICH IS THE CONCEPT OF INTEREST THAT YOU'VE
14	SHOWN SOME EFFECTIVENESS OF YOUR TREATMENT AT PHASE
15	II, THAT THESE COMPANIES WILL TAKE THESE PROJECTS
16	FORWARD. SO WE'VE GOT A LOT OF INTEREST NOW IN THE
17	STRATEGIC PARTNERING PROCESS, AND WE'RE GOING TO
18	GROW THAT.
19	WE WANT TO ACCELERATE CLINICAL TRIAL
20	EFFECTIVENESS AND COMPETITIVENESS. SO THE STAFF
21	THAT'S NEEDED TO MANAGE THIS END OF THE PROGRAM, THE
22	CLINICAL TRIALS, THE TRANSLATION IS REALLY NOW A
23	BURDEN. IT'S WHAT WE'VE GOT TO REALLY FOCUS ON. SO
24	I'M PUSHING THE ORGANIZATION TO INCREASE THE
25	RESOURCES IN THAT END OF THE SPECTRUM BECAUSE THESE

1	ARE BIG GRANTS. WE WANT THEM MANAGED WELL FOR THE
2	STATE. SO WE WANT TO PUT PRESSURE ON THE REST OF
3	THE ORGANIZATION BECAUSE WE HAVE TO REMAIN UNDER
4	THAT 6-PERCENT CAP TO ACTUALLY GET THE RESOURCES IN
5	THE MANAGEMENT OF THESE TEAMS. WE NEED TO BE ABLE
6	TO TAKE OUT THE TEAMS THAT ARE NOT PERFORMING, THOSE
7	ONES WITH WHAT WE CALL GO/NO-GO DECISIONS WHERE THEY
8	FAIL WITH A PRINCIPAL COMPONENT OF THEIRS AND THEN
9	THEY HAVE TO BE SENT BACK TO RETHINK THEIR WORK.
10	WE HAVE TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT. AND THAT
11	REALLY COSTS A LOT OF ENERGY AND TIME. AND SO I'LL
12	BE PUTTING PRESSURE ON THE ORGANIZATION TO MAKE SURE
13	WE GET AS MUCH CAPACITY AT THAT END OF THE PIPELINE,
14	IF YOU LIKE.
15	WE WANT TO GROW THE CALIFORNIA
16	BIOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY. WHEN I SAY MULTIPLE BILLION
17	DOLLAR COMPANIES, I HOPE WE'LL HAVE A MULTIPLE
18	BILLION DOLLAR COMPANY JOIN CALIFORNIA THIS YEAR.
19	THAT WILL DO A LOT OF GOOD, I THINK, FOR THE STATE.
20	I EXPECT THAT TO HAPPEN.
21	WE WANT TO DEMONSTRATE THE ECONOMIC
22	BENEFITS TO BOND INVESTMENTS IN THIS NEW MEDICINE.
23	LET'S SHOW THE REST OF THE WORLD THAT THIS WAS A
24	REALLY, REALLY GOOD EXAMPLE, A GOOD EXPERIMENT, IF
25	YOU LIKE, AND IT'S ONE THAT'S BEEN REALLY WORTH

1	CALIFORNIA'S INVESTMENT.
2	AND EVENTUALLY TO PROVIDE THE PIPELINE IN
3	CLINICAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR TREATING PATIENTS WITH
4	SERIOUS DISEASES AND INJURIES. THAT'S THE GOAL,
5	THAT'S THE END OF THE LINE, THAT'S WHERE WE HAVE TO
6	BE AT THAT POINT IN TIME.
7	SO I HOPE THAT'S HELPFUL, BOARD, JUST TO
8	GIVE YOU A BIT OF A SIGHT INTO WHERE WE'RE PUTTING A
9	LOT OF OUR PRESSURE AND ACTIVITY. THANK YOU VERY
10	MUCH.
11	MEMBER LIPSON: I HAVE A QUESTION.
12	ACTUALLY A COUPLE. NO. 1, HOW MANY ACTUAL PEOPLE
13	HAVE BEEN IN CLINICAL TRIALS?
14	DR. TROUNSON: HOW MANY PEOPLE?
15	MEMBER LIPSON: YES.
16	DR. TROUNSON: DO YOU MEAN ORGANIZATIONS?
17	MEMBER LIPSON: I MEAN HOW MANY
18	DR. TROUNSON: PATIENTS HAVE BEEN TREATED?
19	I CAN'T TELL FROM THOSE THREE CLINICAL TRIALS,
20	I'D HAVE TO GO BACK AND ACTUALLY GET THAT
21	INFORMATION FOR YOU. IN TERMS OF THE GERON STUDY, I
22	CAN TELL YOU THERE WERE SIX PATIENTS INVOLVED IN
23	THAT. AND SO FOR THOSE OTHER STUDIES, I'D HAVE TO
24	GO GET THAT INFORMATION FROM THE RESEARCHERS.
25	MEMBER LIPSON: THOSE SIX PATIENTS AREN'T

1	GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS ANYMORE; IS THAT CORRECT?
2	DR. TROUNSON: WELL, THEY' VE BEEN TREATED.
3	SO THEY'RE UNDER MANAGEMENT, AND THEY HAVE TO REMAIN
4	UNDER MANAGEMENT BY THE GERON COMPANY TO THE EXTENT
5	WHERE THE FDA REQUIRES THEM TO KEEP VIGILANCE OVER
6	THAT GROUP OF PATIENTS.
7	MEMBER LIPSON: AND THE QUESTION IS HAS
8	ANYTHING LOOKED PROMISING FROM ANY OF THESE SIX, OR
9	WE HAVE ANY I DEA?
10	DR. TROUNSON: THEY WERE SAFETY STUDIES,
11	SO IT WASN'T BUILT TO TRY AND MEASURE BENEFIT TO THE
12	PATIENTS. I THINK THE NEXT STAGE, WHICH IS REALLY
13	THE PHASE II STUDIES, IS WHERE THEY INCREASE THE
14	NUMBER OF CELLS WHERE THEY'D EXPECT AN IMPACT. IN
15	THE SAFETY STUDIES, THEY'RE ONLY ALLOWED TO PUT IN A
16	RAT DOSE. A RAT IS A SMALL ANIMAL. WE'RE A LOT
17	BIGGER. SO WE HAVE TO INCREASE THE NUMBERS OF
18	CELLS. AND SO YOU WOULD EXPECT MAYBE AN IMPACT AS
19	YOU MOVE UP THE SCALE. AND YOU'D SEE THAT IN PHASE
20	11.
21	THERE HAVE BEEN SOME STUDIES THAT WE
22	DIDN'T FUND WHICH HAVEN'T FUNDED DIRECTLY, THE ACT
23	STUDIES THAT WERE JUST IN THE NEWS. ONLY TWO
24	PATIENTS. AND I THINK IT'S VERY PRELIMINARY TO
25	SUGGEST THAT THERE'S BEEN BENEFIT THERE. BUT IN THE

1	LANCET PAPER THAT PUBLISHED IT, THEY SAID THAT THE
2	PATIENTS HAD SHOWN SOME BENEFIT. BUT NOTABLY, THE
3	CLINICIAN HERE AT UCLA NOTED THAT THEY GOT BENEFIT
4	OUT OF BOTH EYES AND ONLY ONE EYE WAS TREATED. IT'S
5	A SALUTARY MOMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT BENEFITS HAVE TO
6	BE DEMONSTRATED IN LONGER TERM SUBSTANTIAL STUDIES.
7	MEMBER LIPSON: THE OTHER QUESTION IS WHAT
8	IS THE LONG-TERM GOAL FOR CIRM? IS IT GOING TO BE A
9	GROUP WHO DISPENSES FUNDS AND WATCHES AS THE GRANTS
10	ARE MANAGED AND THEN FADES INTO THE SUNSET, OR YOU
11	HAVE OTHER IDEAS?
12	DR. TROUNSON: WELL, I THINK THAT THAT'S
13	GOING TO BE ANSWERED IN THE NEXT PRESENTATION. WHAT
14	IS THE WHERE DOES CIRM GO? BUT FROM MY POINT OF
15	VIEW, WITH OUR \$3 BILLION, WE WANT TO SEE A MULTIPLE
16	NUMBER OF STUDIES PASS THROUGH IN A POSITIVE WAY
17	THROUGH PHASE II. WE DON'T HAVE THE FUNDS TO TAKE
18	THEM TO PHASE III AND PHASE IV. THAT'S REALLY
19	SOMEBODY ELSE'S, THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY OR SOME
20	OTHER PROCESS THAT NEEDS TO TAKE THEM FORWARD. BUT
21	WE'RE CONNECTING THEM TO THOSE ORGANIZATIONS NOW.
22	WE WANT MULTIPLES AT THAT END. AND IN THE
23	BASIC SCIENCE, WE WANT THEM TO REALLY CHANGE,
24	TRANSFORM THE WHOLE AREA. NOW I THINK I CAN TELL
25	YOU THAT A NEW PLATFORM IS EVOLVING WHERE WITH
	E.4

TARGETED VIRAL CONSTRUCTS THAT YOU CAN CHANGE CELLS
IN SOME TISSUE FROM ONE TYPE TO ANOTHER. SO YOU CAN
ACTUALLY GET, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU HAVE A HEART
ATTACK, THE STROMAL CELLS IN THE HEART TO BECOME
CARDIOMYOCYTES, MUSCLES THAT ARE NECESSARY TO GIVE
YOU BACK THE STRENGTH IN YOUR HEART, YOUR LEFT
VENTRICLE, BY INTRODUCING INTO THE HEART THESE WHAT
WE CALL TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS THAT CONVERT ONE CELL
FROM ONE TYPE TO ANOTHER.
THESE ARE DEVELOPMENTS THAT ARE COMING OUT
OF THAT BASIC RESEARCH. AND THAT PLATFORM IN 10
YEARS, 12 YEARS, 20 YEARS IS GOING TO REALLY
REVOLUTIONIZE, I THINK, MEDICINE AGAIN. SO BOTH IN
THE BASIC AND THE CLINICAL, I HAVE VERY STRONG
ASPIRATIONS TO ESTABLISH A REAL SOLID FOOTPRINT FOR
CIRM IN CALIFORNIA FOR US TO BE RECOGNIZED REALLY AS
THE PLACE IN STEM CELLS.
MEMBER LOTT: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS WAS A
VERY I'M VERY IMPRESSED. THIS IS A VERY, VERY
IMPRESSIVE TRACK RECORD THAT YOU'VE ACCOMPLISHED
HERE. MY 12-YEAR-OLD SON, HE JUST WOKE UP. YOU PUT
HIM TO SLEEP THOUGH. SO I WOULD HOPE THIS WAS
IMPRESSIVE TO ME, REALLY, REALLY. YOU GUYS SHOULD
BE REALLY COMPLIMENTED.
I WANT TO KEEP HIM AWAKE AND THE REST OF

1	THE VOTERS AND EVERYBODY ELSE IN CALIFORNIA. CAN
2	YOU USE SOME OF THAT ADVOCACY MONEY IN YOUR BUDGET
3	TO PACKAGE THIS IN MESSAGING THAT WILL RESONATE WITH
4	THE COMMON PERSON AND KEEP MY 12-YEAR-OLD AWAKE?
5	DR. TROUNSON: I THINK THAT'S THE
6	CHALLENGE FOR US TO DO THAT. ABSOLUTELY. WE'VE GOT
7	TO EXCITE THE WHOLE OF CALIFORNIA ABOUT THIS IS
8	REALLY SOMETHING VERY, VERY SPECIAL. AND I THINK
9	DELIVERY OF THE MESSAGE IS CRITICAL. AND DELIVERY
10	OF THE MESSAGE, I THINK, NOT FROM NECESSARILY ME,
11	BUT OTHER PEOPLE WHO REALLY FEEL THIS IS SOMETHING
12	SPECIAL REALLY NEEDS TO BE THE RESONANCE HERE. I
13	AGREE WITH YOU. THIS IS FABULOUS. THIS IS JUST
14	VERY SPECIAL. AND THIS IS WHAT YOU DID. THIS IS
15	WHAT CALIFORNIA DID. AND I WAS IN AUSTRALIAN AT
16	THAT TIME, AND I DIDN'T BELIEVE YOU GUYS WERE SO
17	BRAVE. BUT HAVING BEEN HERE FOR THIS IS MY FIFTH
18	YEAR HERE, I HAVE TO TELL YOU THIS IS SOMETHING
19	REALLY, REALLY SPECIAL AND THE WHOLE WORLD IS AWAKE
20	TO IT. I THINK WE NEED TO GET EVERYBODY IN THE
21	COMMUNITY AWAKE, REALLY AWAKE. THE SENSE OF IT IS
22	WAKE UP. THERE'S A WHOLE NEW THING HAPPENING, AND
23	IT'S VERY, VERY SPECIAL.
24	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: CAN I ADD A COMMENT ON
25	THAT? IT'S A POINT VERY WELL TAKEN. WE HAD A

1	PRESENTATION ON OUR PORTFOLIO, IF YOU WILL, BACK
2	LAST SUMMER AT THE BOARD MEETING, AND IT WAS QUITE
3	SCIENTIFIC IN ITS LANGUAGE. I SAID, WELL, THIS IS
4	FANTASTIC. THE EXTRAORDINARY WORK THAT'S BEING DONE
5	IS TRULY IMPRESSIVE. VERY HAPPY TO HEAR THAT YOU
6	ECHO THAT.
7	BUT I SAID, DOESN'T DO A LOT OF GOOD TO
8	THE PUBLIC IF WE HAVE IT PRESENTED IN THIS FORM
9	BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT GOING TO KNOW WHAT YOU ARE
10	TALKING ABOUT. SO AT THAT POINT, I DIRECTED THAT
11	THEY DEVELOP, FOR LACK OF A BETTER PHRASE, A PLAIN
12	ENGLISH VERSION. YOU ACTUALLY HAVE THAT IN YOUR
13	PACKET. I'M NOT SURE WHAT TAB IT IS, BUT IT'S RIGHT
14	AT THE END, I BELIEVE, OF YOUR MATERIALS. AND THAT
15	PLAIN ENGLISH VERSION IS GOING TO BE THE CENTERPIECE
16	OF A PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM THAT WE'RE GOING
17	TO HAVE TO DO EXACTLY WHAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING, WHICH
18	IS TO GET THE MESSAGE OUT TO EXCITE CALIFORNIANS
19	ABOUT WHAT WE'RE DOING, WHAT WE HAVE DONE, WHERE WE
20	PLAN TO GO, WHAT WE ASPIRE TO, ETC. SO THANK YOU
21	FOR YOUR COMMENT. IT'S VERY GOOD TO HEAR THAT.
22	MS. HOLTON-HODSON: THAT'S TAB 61.
23	MEMBER KOVACH: ONE THING THAT RESONATED
24	WITH ME RECENTLY AT THE WORLD STEM CELL CONFERENCE
25	IN PASADENA THAT CIRM WAS PROMINENTLY FIGURED IN WAS

1	THE FACT THAT I'VE BEEN GOING TO STEM CELL
2	CONFERENCES FOR 15, 20 YEARS. AND FOR THE FIRST
3	TIME I SAW MANY CLINICIANS. USUALLY YOU JUST SEE
4	BASIC RESEARCHERS, BUT IN THIS PARTICULAR VENUE
5	THERE WERE THE PHYSICIANS, THE TREATING PHYSICIANS.
6	SO TO ME IT REMINDED ME OF, LIKE, YOU KNOW YOU'RE
7	NEAR LAND WHEN YOU SEE THE SEAGULLS, RIGHT. SO I
8	WAS REALLY EXCITED ABOUT THAT.
9	HOWEVER, ONE THING I ALSO NOTED WAS THE
10	FOREIGN PRESENCE OF OTHER COUNTRIES, FROM ASIA IN
11	PARTICULAR. LOT OF LOT OF INTEREST. AND TO ME IT
12	REMINDED ME THAT THE WORLD IS FLAT. AND SO THINGS
13	ARE VERY COMPETITIVE. SO WE NEED TO KEEP MOVING
14	FORWARD, BUT I FELT REALLY, REALLY EXCITED ABOUT
15	WHERE WE'RE AT, AND THIS ACTUALLY REFLECTS THAT AS
16	WELL.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: MR. CONTROLLER, IF YOU
18	WOULD, PERHAPS THIS IS A GOOD SEGUE INTO THE
19	TRANSITION PLAN DISCUSSION.
20	I WILL NOTE FOR THE RECORD, I WOULD INVITE
21	YOU TO FOLLOW THE SCREEN. THIS TRANSITION PLAN, AS
22	I'LL NOTE, IS A WORK CONTINUING TO BE IN PROGRESS UP
23	THROUGH THE END OF THIS MONTH. SO WHAT I'M GOING TO
24	TALK ABOUT GOES A BIT BEYOND WHAT YOU HAVE IN YOUR
25	PACKAGE. SO FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO WOULD, PLEASE, DO

1	REFER TO THE SCREEN.
2	SO THIS IS AN INTERESTING PRESENTATION TO
3	MAKE. AS WE SIT HERE TODAY, WE ANTICIPATE HAVING
4	FUNDING FROM OUR CURRENT BOND ALLOCATION TO AWARD
5	THROUGH 2016 OR 2017. MUCH OF THAT, AS YOU KNOW, IS
6	MULTIYEAR IN ITS NATURE. SO WE ACTUALLY DON'T
7	FORESEE ACTUALLY DEPLOYING THE LAST DOLLAR FROM OUR
8	\$3 BILLION AUTHORIZED AMOUNT UNTIL 2020 OR 21. SO
9	WE ARE QUITE A WAYS OUT FROM THE PROGRAM RUNNING ITS
10	COURSE, AND THAT ASSUMES NO OTHER FURTHER FUNDING TO
11	SUSTAIN THE EFFORT GOING BEYOND THAT.
12	NOTWITHSTANDING THAT, THE LEGISLATURE
13	WANTED TO HAVE SORT OF AN INTERIM REPORT ON A
14	TRANSITION PLAN TO BE DONE BY JANUARY 31ST OF THIS
15	YEAR AND DELIVERED TO THE GOVERNOR, CONTROLLER, AND
16	LEGISLATURE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF ITS COMPLETION. SO WE
17	HAVE PUT TOGETHER SOME THOUGHTS ON A TRANSITION PLAN
18	WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE IS A GREAT DEAL OF
19	WATER LEFT TO GO UNDER THE BRIDGE. MANY THINGS WILL
20	HAPPEN OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT NINE YEARS. SO
21	ANY SORT OF EFFORT TOWARDS DESCRIBING A DEFINITIVE
22	TRANSITION PLAN AT THIS POINT IS SORT OF DOOMED TO
23	HAVE ADJUSTMENTS MADE AS WE GO ALONG.
24	BUT IN THE SPIRIT OF TRYING TO ADDRESS
25	THIS ISSUE AS BEST WE CAN AT THIS POINT, IF WE CAN

1	TALK ABOUT THIS FOR A MOMENT. THE PHRASE I'D LIKE
2	YOU TO SORT OF CONSIDER, KEEP IN THE BACK OF YOUR
3	MIND IS ANY TRANSITION IS ABOUT SUSTAINABILITY OF
4	MISSION, NOT OF CIRM, BUT OF MISSION. AND THE
5	MISSION, AS YOU KNOW, IS TO DEVELOP THERAPIES AND
6	CURES TO RELIEVE HUMAN SUFFERING IN AS MANY AREAS AS
7	WE CAN. AND WE, CIRM, IS ABOUT THAT MISSION. AND
8	GOING FORWARD, WHETHER CIRM IS HERE OR NOT, WILL BE
9	ABOUT THAT MISSION.
10	SO I'D LIKE TO SO JUST A COUPLE BRIEF
11	SUMMARY STATES ABOUT WHERE WE ARE TODAY. THREE
12	BILLION WAS AUTHORIZED IN PROP 71. IT WAS AND
13	REMAINS A NEW PARADIGM FOR FUNDING BIOMEDICAL
14	RESEARCH, A TRULY PUBLIC/PRIVATE JOINT VENTURE
15	EFFORT THAT AT THE TIME IT WAS PASSED WAS REALLY
16	REVOLUTIONARY. IT WAS APPROVED OBVIOUSLY IN THE
17	FACE OF BUSH ERA RESTRICTIONS ON RESEARCH. I'LL
18	NOTE PARENTHETICALLY THAT, DEPENDING ON THE RESULTS
19	OF THIS YEAR'S PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, THE FEDERAL
20	RESTRICTIONS, SHOULD GOVERNOR ROMNEY BE ELECTED, ARE
21	LIKELY TO RESUME, WHICH WOULD BE I WILL SAY
22	PROBLEMATIC, TO SAY THE LEAST, FOR THE REGENERATIVE
23	MEDICINE FIELD.
24	ONE OF THE IDEAS ABOUT FUNDING THE EFFORT
25	THROUGH BOND PROCEEDS WAS TO SPREAD OUT THE COSTS OF

1	DEVELOPING THE PRODUCTS THAT HOPE TO COME OVER THE
2	GENERATIONS THAT WOULD BENEFIT FROM THOSE THERAPIES
3	AND CURES. SO RATHER THAN HAVING TO TRY TO FUND,
4	EVEN IF IT WERE POSSIBLE, THROUGH ANNUAL
5	APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE STATE GOVERNMENT, IT WAS
6	DEEMED THAT BECAUSE THESE ARE LONG-TERM BENEFITS,
7	THE COSTS SHOULD BE SPREAD OUT OVER LONG TERM. AND,
8	THEREFORE, WE HAVE A MECHANISM FOR ISSUING 30-YEAR
9	BONDS TO COVER THE DEBT SERVICE FOR THE RESEARCH.
10	AS WE NOTED A LITTLE EARLIER, AND THIS IS
11	A STRIKING NUMBER, GENERATED ALMOST 900 MILLION IN
12	ADDITION TO THE 3 BILLION AUTHORIZED IN PRIVATE AND
13	FOUNDATION MATCHING MONEY, WHICH SUGGESTED A
14	TREMENDOUS INTEREST IN THE PUBLIC IN CALIFORNIA IN
15	THE STEM CELL SPACE GOING BACK EARLIER IN THE 2000S.
16	WHERE ARE WE TODAY? ALAN HAS GONE OVER THIS IN SOME
17	EXHAUSTI VE DETAI L.
18	FORTY-THREE MAJOR AWARDS ADDRESSING 26
19	INCURABLE DISEASES AND CONDITIONS. IF YOU LOOK AT
20	WHAT WE'RE GOING AFTER, IT'S EVERYTHING FROM HEART
21	ISSUES, EVERY NEUROLOGICAL DISEASE YOU CAN THINK OF,
22	VISION, DIABETES, JUST GO RIGHT DOWN THE LIST,
23	BASICALLY ALL OF THE EXISTING MAJOR CHALLENGES IN
24	ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, AT LEAST MOST OF THEM, WE ARE
25	ATTEMPTING TO ADDRESS WITH OUR FUNDED PROJECTS.

1	VERY IMPORTANTLY, WE DO NOT DISCRIMINATE
2	AGAINST STEM CELL TYPE. WE'RE SORT OF ORIGINALLY
3	ENVISIONED AS FUNDING EMBRYONIC STEM CELL RESEARCH.
4	WE NOW FUND EVERYTHING, EMBRYONIC, ADULT, INDUCED
5	PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS, DIRECT REPROGRAMMING OR
6	TRANSDIFFERENTIATION. AND THE ONE THING WE CAN SAY
7	WITH SOME CERTAINTY IS AS WE KEEP GOING, NEW
8	DIFFERENT TYPES OF CELLS WILL COME UP OR VARIATIONS
9	OR WHATEVER. AND SO THAT THERE WILL BE EVEN MORE
10	THAN THERE ARE TODAY.
11	WE HAVE GREATLY ACCELERATED THE PACE OF
12	STEM CELL RESEARCH LITERALLY WORLDWIDE. CALIFORNIA
13	IS INDISPUTABLY NOW THE LEADER IN THE WORLD IN
14	FUNDING STEM CELL RESEARCH, COURTESY OF PROP 71.
15	AND IT HAS REALLY REVOLUTIONIZED THE FIELD GETTING
16	INFORMATION TRANSMITTED WORLDWIDE, GENERATING
17	EFFORTS IN COLLABORATION THAT ALAN REFERRED TO. AND
18	SO IF YOU TALK TO ANY OF THE PEOPLE OUT THERE
19	WORKING IN THE AREA, THEY'RE JUST ALMOST GIDDY WITH
20	THE WAY THAT PROP 71 HAS FACILITATED THE ABILITY TO
21	DO STEM CELL RESEARCH FOLLOWING ON THAT PERIOD WHERE
22	THEY COULDN'T DO MUCH OF ANYTHING DUE TO THE BUSH
23	PHILOSOPHY IN THE AREA.
24	IF YOU WERE TO SEE, AS WE DO, THE CLIPS
25	FROM VARIOUS NEWS SERVICES, LITERALLY EVERY DAY

1	SOMETHING IS HAPPENING IN THIS SPACE. AND IT'S
2	SOMETHING SIGNIFICANT. THE PACE OF DEVELOPMENTS IS
3	JUST PRONOUNCED AND GETTING MORE SO. AS OF TODAY,
4	WE HAVE A VIBRANT WORLDWIDE RESEARCH EFFORT WELL
5	UNDER WAY, WHICH IS FACILITATED TO A LARGE DEGREE BY
6	CIRM AND ITS FUNDING EFFORTS.
7	SO WITH THAT IN MIND, WE WERE ASKED TO
8	PREPARE A TRANSITION PLAN. SB 1064, THERE'S THE
9	LANGUAGE, UNDER THE GUIDANCE OF THE ICOC, THE
10	INSTITUTE SHALL BY JANUARY 31, 2012, CREATE A
11	TRANSITION PLAN ADDRESSING THE EXPIRATION OF CURRENT
12	BOND FUNDING. THE TRANSITION PLAN SHALL BE
13	TRANSMITTED TO THE GOVERNOR, THE CONTROLLER, AND THE
14	LEGISLATURE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF COMPLETION.
15	IF WE HAD TO SUMMARIZE WHERE WE ARE ON
16	THIS, I WOULD JUST SAY, AND I'LL READ THIS QUICKLY.
17	ALTHOUGH ADDITIONAL STATE FUNDING COULD BE A
18	POSSIBILITY IN THE FUTURE, AND I'LL ADDRESS THAT, IT
19	WOULD BE PREMATURE EVEN TO CONSIDER ANOTHER BOND
20	MEASURE AT THIS TIME. INSTEAD, CIRM IS FOCUSING ITS
21	EFFORT ON CREATING A PLATFORM THAT ENABLES OTHERS TO
22	CARRY ON CIRM'S WORK. THIS IS THE SUSTAINABILITY OF
23	MISSION I REFERRED TO.
24	THROUGH FUNDING STATE-OF-THE-ART RESEARCH
25	FACILITIES, COLLABORATIVE FUNDING AGREEMENTS, AND

1	INDUSTRY ENGAGEMENT, CIRM HAS ALREADY MADE PROGRESS
2	IN CREATING THIS PLATFORM. CIRM INTENDS TO MAINTAIN
3	SIGNIFICANT STAFF THROUGH THE CLOSEOUT OF ALL
4	AWARDS, CIRCA 2021. IN THE FUTURE AND AS
5	APPROPRIATE FOR THE TIME, WE WILL DEVELOP DETAILED
6	PLANS TO ENABLE TRANSITIONS FOR PEOPLE AND THE
7	TRANSFER OF OBLIGATION TRACKING CURRENTLY DONE BY
8	CI RM.
9	SO THAT'S SORT OF AN OVERRIDING COMMENT.
10	OKAY. NEXT, WE'VE GOT THIS IS JUST FOR
11	THOSE WHO WANT A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL ON OUR
12	AWARDS. I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO GO THROUGH THIS IN
13	PARTICULAR DETAIL, BUT WE'VE A NOTE AT THE BOTTOM.
14	WE'VE TRANSITIONED FROM FUNDING PHYSICAL AND HUMAN
15	INFRASTRUCTURE TO EMPHASIZE, AS ALAN SAID,
16	TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH AND CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT.
17	WHAT ARE THE LASTING ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF
18	CIRM? WE FUNDED 332 MILLION IN NEW RESEARCH
19	SORRY FUNDED APPROXIMATELY 332 MILLION IN NEW
20	RESEARCH SPACE IN OVER A DOZEN LEADING ACADEMIC
21	INSTITUTIONS. AND I WOULD INVITE YOU TO VISIT ONE
22	OR MORE OF THESE. I THINK THE FACILITIES ARE
23	STATE-OF-THE-ART, HIGHLY IMPRESSIVE, AND YOU WOULD
24	BE REALLY GRATIFIED TO MEET WITH THE SCIENTISTS WHO
25	ARE DOING THE WORK, WHO WILL GO ON AND ON ABOUT HOW

1	OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, DISEASE FOUNDATIONS,
2	VENTURE CAPITALISTS, AND OTHERS TO CONTINUE TO
3	PURSUE CIRM'S MISSION. WE WILL ENABLE A SMOOTH
4	TRANSITION FOR EMPLOYEES, PATIENTS, AWARDEES, AND
5	OTHER STAKEHOLDERS. AND WE WILL FACILITATE THE
6	ONGOING TRACKING OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND OTHER
7	OBLIGATIONS OF AWARDEES TO MAKE SURE THAT THE STATE
8	GETS THE FULL BENEFIT OF ITS INVESTMENT IN THOSE
9	COMPANIES THAT DO END UP PRODUCING REVENUES.
10	TRANSITION PLAN EXAMPLES ON THE LOGISTICAL
11	SIDE. HERE AGAIN, TRYING TO COME UP WITH AN
12	EXHAUSTIVE LIST NINE YEARS IN ADVANCE IS A LITTLE
13	TRICKY, BUT EXAMPLES OF SOME THINGS THAT ARE CENTRAL
14	TO THE EFFORT. FIRST, ALAN TALKED ABOUT THIS,
15	PROVIDE REGULATORY AND PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT GUIDANCE
16	TO ITS GRANTEES TO ENSURE THAT THEY HAVE THE TOOLS
17	NECESSARY TO TAKE THEIR DISCOVERIES FROM THE BENCH
18	TO THE BEDSIDE. THIS FDA APPROVAL PROCESS IS IN ITS
19	EARLIEST PHASE RIGHT NOW.
20	GERON, WHO ALAN SUGGESTED, WE'RE VERY
21	DISAPPOINTED THAT THEY PULLED OUT FIRST AND FOREMOST
22	FROM THE STANDPOINT, RATHER, OF THE PATIENTS AND
23	THEIR FAMILIES WHO PUT A LOT OF HOPE IN THEIR
24	UNDERTAKING. ONE THING THAT THEY DID DO, WHICH WAS
25	VERY IMPORTANT, WAS THEY PIONEERED THE PROCESS OF

1	FDA REGULATORY APPROVAL FOR HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM
2	CELL-RELATED PRODUCTS. AND THAT HAS SET THE TABLE
3	FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THIS SO-CALLED REGULATORY
4	PATHWAY WHICH WILL ONLY DEVELOP MORE OVER TIME AND
5	WHICH CIRM IS RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF HELPING ADVISE
6	AND DEVELOP WITH THEM.
7	SO THIS IS SOMETHING FROM A TRANSITIONAL
8	POINT OF VIEW VERY IMPORTANT TO HAVE CERTAINTY AS TO
9	HOW YOU GET FROM A TO B WITH THE REGULATORS IN A WAY
10	THAT BEST GIVES YOU, THE APPLICANT, THE OPPORTUNITY
11	TO ULTIMATELY REALIZE YOUR GOAL OF A PRODUCT THAT
12	CAN BE USED FOR THE PUBLIC.
13	SECONDLY, SUPPORT EFFORTS BY ITS GRANTEES
14	TO PROVIDE CIRM-FUNDED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN
15	ORDER TO SAFEGUARD THE STATE'S INVESTMENT AND
16	PROMOTE THE COMMERCIALIZATION OF CIRM-FUNDED
17	THERAPIES. HERE SIMPLY WE, AGAIN, WANT TO MAKE SURE
18	WE HAVE EMBEDDED IN EVERY AWARD ON THE GRANT SIDE
19	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS SO THAT WITH ANY
20	REVENUES GENERATED BY PRODUCTS, THE STATE WILL
21	RECEIVE A PORTION OF THAT; THAT IS, IT'S FAIR SHARE,
22	NOT SOMETHING THAT'S SO MUCH AS TO BE A HEAVY BURDEN
23	THAT WOULD REALLY COMPROMISE THE COMPANY, BUT A VERY
24	WELL-BALANCED RETURN TO THE STATE TO HELP DEFRAY
25	DEBT SERVICE ON THE BONDS.

1	THIRDLY, BEFORE CIRM'S LAST RESEARCH
2	AWARDS ARE CLOSED, CIRM WILL DEVELOP DETAILED PLANS
3	FOR THE TRANSITION OF ALL STAKEHOLDERS, EMPLOYEES,
4	PATIENTS, AWARDEES, AND, FOR TRANSFERRING AUTHORITY
5	FOR MONITORING THIRD-PARTY OBLIGATIONS SUCH AS THE
6	REPAYMENT OF LOANS. SO THIS IS SOMETHING, AGAIN,
7	THAT WILL BE DEVELOPED YEARS DOWN THE ROAD, BUT
8	CLEARLY THIS IS A CATEGORY OF MAJOR IMPORTANCE.
9	WE'RE FULLY AWARE OF IT. WE WILL DEVELOP
10	COMPREHENSIVE PLANS FOR DEALING WITH ALL OF THIS.
11	LASTLY, ON THIS PAGE, AFTER CIRM'S LAST
12	RESEARCH AWARDS ARE CLOSED, CIRCA 2021, CIRM WILL
13	TRANSFER THOSE GRANT AGREEMENTS THAT HAVE THE
14	POTENTIAL TO LEAD TO REVENUES TO THE STATE
15	CONTROLLER'S OFFICE, COLLECTIONS DIVISION FOR THE
16	PURPOSE OF AUDITING GRANTEES AND COLLECTING ANY
17	PAYMENTS DUE TO THE STATE PER OUR AGREEMENT WITH THE
18	STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE IN 2006.
19	ON THE NEXT PAGE, SOME TRANSITION PLAN
20	EXAMPLES IN THE AREA OF INFRASTRUCTURE. NO. 1, WORK
21	TO CREATE NEW BIOTECHNOLOGY COMPANIES TO BRING
22	NEW BIOTECHNOLOGY COMPANIES TO CALIFORNIA AND CREATE
23	STEM CELL CLUSTERS TO PROMOTE COLLABORATIONS WITH
24	CALIFORNIA RESEARCHERS AND TO PROVIDE A VEHICLE TO
25	TRANSLATE STEM CELL DISCOVERIES INTO CLINICAL
	70

1	APPLI CATI ONS.
2	SO WHEN YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT THE LOAN
3	PROGRAM AND INVOLVEMENT OF COMPANIES, ETC., WE WANT
4	TO OBVIOUSLY ENCOURAGE THE DEVELOPMENT OR THE
5	RELOCATION OF AS MANY COMPANIES AS WE CAN IN THE
6	SPACE SO THAT AT SUCH TIME AND IF CIRM GOES AWAY,
7	THERE IS A VIBRANT INDUSTRY THERE READY TO CARRY ON
8	THE WORK THAT OUR FUNDED PROJECT AWARDEES ARE DOING
9	RIGHT NOW.
10	NO. 2, CIRM IS FUNDING THE CREATION OF AN
11	INDUCED PLURIPOTENT BANK AS A RESOURCE FOR
12	CALIFORNIA RESEARCHERS AND COMPANIES INTERESTED IN
13	DISEASE MODELING AND DRUG DISCOVERY. AT THE RISK OF
14	BEING A LITTLE TOO BASIC JUST TO DEFINE INDUCED
15	PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS IN CASE THERE'S SOMEBODY ON
16	THE COMMITTEE WHO DOESN'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THAT IS,
17	IT'S KIND OF JARGONY. THIS WAS THE DEVELOPMENT IN
18	2007 WHERE A NOW WORLD FAMOUS JAPANESE RESEARCHER
19	TOOK A SKIN CELL AND THROUGH EXPOSING IT TO A SET OF
20	PROTEINS WAS ABLE TO TURN THAT ADULT SKIN CELL BACK
21	INTO ESSENTIALLY AN EMBRYONIC STAGE, WHICH WAS
22	SOMETHING AT THE TIME WAS VIEWED AS A TREMENDOUS
23	ACCOMPLISHMENT. AND ALAN COULD GIVE YOU CHAPTER AND
24	VERSE ON THE DETAILS.
25	BUT WHAT HAS HAPPENED AS A RESULT IS GET

1	SOMEBODY WHO HAS A PARTICULAR DISEASE, YOU CAN TAKE
2	THEIR SKIN CELL, REVERSE ENGINEER IT BACK INTO
3	EMBRYONIC STAGE, AND THEN REPROGRAM THAT EMBRYONIC
4	STEM CELL TO BECOME WHATEVER WAS AFFECTED BY THE
5	DISEASE IN THAT PERSON, AND HAVE IT DEVELOP IN A
6	DISH, AND THEN HAVE THE ABILITY TO TEST ALL SORTS OF
7	COMPOUNDS TO SEE IF YOU CAN TREAT THE CONDITION AS
8	IT NOW SITS THERE LITERALLY BEFORE YOU. AND IT'S
9	OPENED UP A HUGE NEW ERA IN DISEASE MODELING AND
10	DRUG DISCOVERY. THERE ARE THESE BIG MACHINES THAT
11	THROUGHPUT COMPOUNDS AT ENORMOUS RATES AND TEST
12	THINGS AND VIABILITY OF TREATMENTS FOR PARTICULAR
13	CONDITIONS. IT'S QUITE SOMETHING.
14	SO WHAT WE HAVE RECENTLY APPROVED IS THE
15	CREATION OF A BANKING FACILITY FOR INDUCED
16	PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS WHICH WILL SERVE AS A SUPPLY
17	OF SUCH CELLS FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT AS I'VE
18	JUST DESCRIBED FOR ANY HOST OF DISEASES THAT WE
19	WOULD HAVE POSSIBLE EFFECT ON.
20	MEMBER LIPSON: DO YOU HAVE YOUR OWN
21	FACILITY FOR THIS BANK?
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ALAN, WOULD YOU LIKE TO
23	ADDRESS THAT?
24	DR. TROUNSON: NO, NOT YET BECAUSE IT WAS
25	JUST PASSED THROUGH THE BOARD FOR CONCEPT APPROVAL.

1	WE'LL BE RELEASING THE RFA, THE CALL FOR
2	APPLICATIONS, IN APRIL, I THINK. SO THERE ARE THREE
3	PARTS TO THAT. FIRST, YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE THE
4	CLINICAL EXPERTISE TO COLLECT THE SAMPLES FROM THE
5	PATIENTS. SO YOU HAVE TO REALLY HAVE A GROUP OF
6	DOCTORS WHO ARE GOING TO COLLECT FROM, SAY, TYPE I
7	DIABETES PATIENTS OR WHATEVER DISEASES YOU HAVE.
8	THERE'S THAT PART. THEN THERE'S A BANKING FACILITY.
9	THEY WANT THE ABILITY TO MAKE THE IPS CELLS. SO
10	THAT IN ITSELF WE WANT IT DONE THE SAME WAY, SO THAT
11	WE CAN COMPARE ALL OF THESE SAMPLES AND WE DON'T
12	HAVE THE VARIANCE DUE TO DIFFERENT METHODS THAT ARE
13	USED.
14	SO THERE ARE A NUMBER OF COMPANIES, FOR
15	EXAMPLE, WHO ARE IN THIS SPACE WHO ARE EITHER HERE
16	IN CALIFORNIA, WHO WANT TO MOVE TO CALIFORNIA WHO
17	ARE INTERESTED IN THIS.
18	AND THIRDLY, WE NEED A BANK. A BANK WOULD
19	THEN MANAGE THOSE SAMPLES AND THEN ENABLE THAT TO
20	GET OUT TO ALL OF THE RESEARCHERS. THERE'S ALSO
21	THOSE BANKING COMPANIES THAT ARE INTERESTED TO COME
22	TO CALIFORNIA AND ESTABLISH THAT AS WELL.
23	SO WE HAVE IN THREE POTS, IF YOU LIKE.
24	AND SO THAT WILL HAVE TO COME FORWARD TO BE REVIEWED
25	BY THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP TO SEE WHICH ARE THE

1	BEST PROPOSALS COME FORWARD.
2	MEMBER LIPSON: SO YOU'RE THINKING OF
3	USING COMMERCIAL COMPANIES?
4	DR. TROUNSON: IT'S POSSIBLE, BUT IT COULD
5	BE NONPROFIT. WE WOULD ALLOW GROUPS IN BOTH AREAS
6	TO PUT THE PROPOSALS FORWARD.
7	MEMBER LIPSON: AGAIN, THERE'S THE
8	CONFLI CT.
9	DR. TROUNSON: WE HAVE TO DEAL WITH THOSE
10	CONFLICTS, AS JAMES SAID. WE HAVE TO ENSURE THAT
11	THOSE CONFLICTS ARE COVERED. FOR EXAMPLE, WE MAY
12	WISH TO RETAIN OWNERSHIP OF THOSE CELLS. SO THEY'RE
13	PROVIDING A SERVICE FOR CALIFORNIA AS DISTINCT FROM
14	OWNING THE SAMPLES AND THEN MAKING MONEY AS A RESULT
15	OF THAT. SO WE'RE WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE NATIONAL
16	INSTITUTES OF HEALTH WHO HAVE BEEN THROUGH THIS
17	PROCESS A FEW TIMES AND HAVE SEEN WHAT YOU REALLY
18	NEED TO DO WHEN YOU DO THESE THINGS, AND ENSURE THAT
19	CALIFORNIA OWNS THIS MATERIAL SO THE BENEFITS CAN
20	TRANSLATE TO CALIFORNIA AND NOT TO THE COMPANIES.
21	MEMBER LIPSON: DO THE BENEFITS GO TO THE
22	STATE OR TO CIRM?
23	DR. TROUNSON: TO THE STATE. THE BENEFITS
24	FROM THIS WOULD GO TO THE STATE. THEY WOULD
25	DIRECTLY GO TO THE STATE ANY BENEFITS UNDER THIS.
	7.4

1	IF THEY'RE AWARDED UNDER A LOAN, WELL, IT COULD COME
2	BACK THROUGH THAT MECHANISM THROUGH TO CIRM, BUT
3	THAT WILL BE THE STATE. BUT IF IT COMES UNDER A
4	GRANT, IT WOULD GO DIRECTLY TO THE STATE.
5	MEMBER LIPSON: I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT
6	TO OTHER PEOPLE THAT IT COSTS ABOUT \$200 MILLION TO
7	BRING A DRUG THROUGH ALL PHASES OF TESTING UNTIL
8	IT'S RELEASED.
9	DR. TROUNSON: EVEN MORE, I THINK. THE
10	CALCULATION IS CLOSER TO 4 BILLION FOR EACH DRUG.
11	THAT'S A TOTAL. SO IT'S ENORMOUS. AND WE'RE
12	WORKING ON THIS IN SEVERAL DIFFERENT WAYS. FIRST OF
13	ALL, WE HAVE TO CONNECT WITH COMPANIES THAT HAVE GOT
14	A BIG ENOUGH BASE TO DO THE FDA TESTING, WHICH
15	REQUIRES YOU TO GO PHASE I, PHASE II, PHASE III,
16	PHASE IV, AND THAT COSTS A LOT OF MONEY.
17	BUT THE OTHER THING I WANT TO DO FOR
18	CALIFORNIA IS ESTABLISH WHAT I'M CALLING STEM CELL
19	CLINICS IN CALIFORNIA. I WANT A NETWORK OF THESE
20	CLINICS THAT BOTH PROVIDE THE CLINICAL TRIALS
21	CAPACITY, BUT ALSO PROVIDE THE TREATMENT FOR
22	PATIENTS AS IT GOES. AS THESE TREATMENTS PERCOLATE
23	THROUGH THE REGULATORY PROCESS BE AVAILABLE FOR
24	CALIFORNIA PATIENTS AND STOP THIS MIGRATION OF
25	PEOPLE OFF TO UNTESTED, UNSCIENTIFIC TREATMENTS IN

1	OTHER PLACES AND HAVE THEM STAY HERE IN CALIFORNIA
2	AND BE PART OF THE CLINICAL TRIALS, PART OF THE
3	TREATMENT HERE AND GET PROPER COUNSELING.
4	SO IN THESE CLINICS I HOPE THERE WILL BE
5	WAYS IN WHICH WE CAN REDUCE THE COSTS, SUBSTANTIALLY
6	REDUCE THE COST OF THE PROVISION OF THOSE
7	TREATMENTS.
8	MEMBER LIPSON: WHO DO YOU ENVISION
9	RUNNING THOSE CLINICS?
10	DR. TROUNSON: THEY WILL, AGAIN, BE
11	SELECTED UNDER AN RFA, AND THAT WOULD LIKELY BE IN
12	THE FIRST PLACE THE MAJOR MEDICAL HEALTH GROUPS.
13	YOU COULD SAY CITY OF HOPE OR CEDARS-SINAI OR UCLA.
14	IT WOULD BE THE MAJOR INSTITUTIONS. THAT'S WHERE
15	THEY WOULD BEGIN. EVENTUALLY THEY WOULD MOVE OUT
16	INTO THE COMMUNITY AS CANCER CENTERS DO; BUT IN THE
17	BEGINNING, I THINK YOU HAVE TO SITE THEM WHERE THE
18	EXPERTISE IS. BUT THIS IS THIS WILL BE PART OF
19	AN RFA, AND IT WILL BE REVIEWED CAREFULLY TO SEE
20	WHERE THE REAL BENEFITS ARE.
21	MEMBER KOVACH: SO THE LPS, I THINK THAT
22	WILL BE A VERY VALUABLE RESOURCE, VERY NOVEL. AND
23	HAVE YOU DECIDED THAT, OR SOUNDS LIKE YOU'RE STILL
24	MAKING UP YOUR MIND AND NOW
25	DR. TROUNSON: DECIDED.
	76

1	MEMBER KOVACH: SO IS THERE SOME WAY TO
2	PROVIDE FOR CALIFORNIA COMPANIES AS KIND OF A CARROT
3	TO COME HERE? THAT WOULD BE A VERY POWERFUL DRAW, I
4	WOULD THINK, IS TO HAVE ACCESS IN SOME PREFERENTIAL
5	WAY TO THAT LIBRARY.
6	DR. TROUNSON: WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
7	THE CALIFORNIA RESEARCH COMMUNITY IS THE BENEFICIARY
8	OF THAT. THIS IS CALIFORNIA MONEY. WE WANT THEM TO
9	BE THE PRIME BENEFICIARY. SO COMPANIES, SOME
10	INSTITUTIONS ARE MULTINATIONAL, OF COURSE, BUT WE
11	WANT AS MANY OF THOSE TO COME TO CALIFORNIA TO LIFT
12	THE ECONOMY OF CALIFORNIA.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OKAY. LASTLY, ON THIS
14	PAGE, THE INFRASTRUCTURE PAGE, EXPLORE AND
15	FACILITATE THE CREATION OF OTHER RESOURCES FOR THE
16	DISCOVERY AND DELIVERY OF STEM CELL-BASED THERAPIES
17	TO PATIENTS. SO THAT'S OTHER RESOURCES BUZZ WORD
18	FOR ADDITIONAL ALTERNATIVE FUNDING.
19	THAT TRANSITIONS NICELY INTO THE LAST
20	SLIDE, WHICH IS THE ISSUE OF FINANCIAL CONCERNS AND
21	WHAT WE'RE THINKING ABOUT AS FAR AS TRANSITION GOES.
22	SO THE FIRST ITEM WE HAVE UP THERE IS
23	EVALUATE AND ENABLE THE FUTURE CREATION OF A
24	CIRM-LIKE FUNDING AGENCY TO CONTINUE CIRM'S MISSION
25	SUCH AS A NONPROFIT VENTURE PHILANTHROPY FUND TO
	77

1	PROVIDE FUNDING FOR STEM CELL RESEARCH PROJECTS FROM
2	IND-ENABLING RESEARCH THAT'S WHERE YOU ARE RIGHT
3	BEFORE YOU START PHASE I THROUGH THE END OF PHASE
4	II TRIALS.
5	WE'RE BUSILY PUTTING TOGETHER IN
6	CONJUNCTION WITH A NATIONAL ORGANIZATION CALLED THE
7	ALLIANCE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE THE PLANS FOR A
8	NONPROFIT VENTURE PHILANTHROPY FUND WHICH HAS AS ITS
9	SORT OF FOUNDING IDEA THIS NOTION I TALKED ABOUT
10	EARLIER ABOUT THE 900 MILLION IN MONEY THAT WAS
11	GIVEN TO STEM CELLS. IT WASN'T EVEN A PARTICULARLY
12	COORDINATED EFFORT. WE BELIEVE NATIONALLY THERE'S
13	SUCH INTEREST IN THIS FIELD WHICH CONTINUES TO BE
14	BORNE OUT NOTWITHSTANDING WHAT ONE MIGHT HEAR ABOUT
15	POLITICAL OBJECTIONS, WHICH THERE ARE PLENTY, BUT
16	THE POLLING SHOWS STEM CELL RESEARCH TO BE VERY MUCH
17	APPROVED BY THE PUBLIC AT LARGE.
18	SO WE'LL THINK ABOUT PUTTING TOGETHER A
19	NONPROFIT FUND THAT WOULD ACCEPT APPLICATIONS FOR
20	AWARDS FROM RESEARCHERS AND COMPANIES ALL OVER THE
21	COUNTRY, NOT JUST THOSE FUNDED BY CIRM, BUT THOSE
22	FUNDED BY NIH OR THE NEW YORK STEM CELL FOUNDATION
23	OR THE STATE OF MARYLAND OR WHATEVER, AND WE LOOK TO
24	HAVE THAT AS A WAY TO FUND THAT EXPENSIVE PART OF
25	THE SDECTOUM DETWEEN THE LAID CDANT AWADD AND THE END

OF PHASE II.
THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE
TEST DRIVING THE NOTION VERY SHORTLY WITH SOME HIGH
NET WORTH DONORS WE KNOW TO BE INTERESTED IN THE
STEM CELL SPACE. AND BECAUSE IT WOULD BE OPEN TO
PROJECTS FROM ALL OVER THE COUNTRY, WE WILL GET THEM
FROM ALL CORNERS, BUT I'M SURE WE WILL GET MORE
PROJECTS APPLYING FROM CIRM THAN ANYWHERE ELSE
SIMPLY BECAUSE WE HAVE OVERWHELMINGLY THE MOST
FUNDED PROJECTS OUT THERE. IT WILL GO THROUGH A DUE
DILIGENCE, A RIGOROUS REVIEW WHICH WILL INCLUDE A
PEER REVIEW OF THE DATA ON WHERE THEY STAND AT THE
MOMENT JUST LIKE WE HAVE HERE AT CIRM, AND PROJECTS
WILL BE SELECTED THROUGH THE BEST AND BRIGHTEST
WHICH WILL THEN, WE HOPE, GREATLY ACCELERATE THE
PATH OF TRANSITION FROM PRECLINICAL INTO THE
CLINICAL AND HOPEFULLY TOWARDS A THERAPY OR CURE.
THE SECOND ITEM, WHICH, OF COURSE, WE HEAR
ABOUT MENTIONED IN THE PRESS FREQUENTLY, IS THE
FEASIBILITY OF AN ADDITIONAL BOND MEASURE. WE ARE
RIGHT NOW ENTIRELY CONSUMED WITH HOW TO DEPLOY THE
SECOND HALF OF THE FUNDING THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE.
ANY SORT OF DISCUSSION OF A POTENTIAL SECOND BOND
MEASURE IS, ACCORDINGLY, IN OUR OPINION, PREMATURE.
WE HAVE MADE NO DECISIONS ABOUT WHETHER WE'RE

1	LOOKING TO GO OUT FOR ONE OR NOT.
2	I WILL SAY, OF COURSE, THAT IF WE GET DOWN
3	THE ROAD TO WHERE THE TIMING IS RIGHT TO CONSIDER IT
4	AND WE FEEL THAT WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DEMONSTRATE A
5	COMPELLING CASE TO THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC AS TO WHY
6	THERE SHOULD BE ADDITIONAL FUNDING IN THE FORM OF A
7	SECOND BOND MEASURE, THEN IT IS SOMETHING WE WILL
8	REVIEW, EVALUATE, AND DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT TO
9	PURSUE AT THAT TIME. BY THE WAY, CANDIDLY, I HOPE
10	THAT FOR ALL OF OUR COLLECTIVE SAKES THAT WE'RE IN A
11	POSITION WHERE WE THINK THINGS ARE REALLY SO
12	INTERESTING AND COMPELLING HERE, THAT IT WOULD MAKE
13	SENSE TO GO BACK OUT BECAUSE THE FUNDING MODEL IS
14	ONE THAT HAS CREATED THIS NEW PARADIGM AND IS
15	FUNCTIONING, WE THINK, VERY WELL RIGHT NOW IS
16	SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE GREAT, BUT ONLY IF WE CAN
17	MAKE OUR CASE. AND WE HAVE NOT DECIDED WHAT TO DO
18	AT ALL WITH RESPECT TO THAT AT THIS PARTICULAR TIME.
19	OBVIOUSLY WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE TO
20	PURSUE AND STRENGTHEN JOINT FUNDING EFFORTS WITH
21	STATE AND INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS, THE NIH, AND
22	DISEASE FOUNDATIONS. THESE INCREASING NUMBERS OF
23	COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS CAN ONLY FURTHER ACCELERATE
24	THE PACE OF RESEARCH. SO WE WILL BE LOOKING AT
25	THOSE VERY CAREFULLY. AND AS WE'VE TALKED ABOUT
	90

1	NUMEROUS TIMES TODAY, WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE TO
2	BUILD RELATIONSHIPS WITH INDUSTRY AND VENTURE
3	CAPITALISTS IN ORDER TO PROMOTE FOLLOW-ON FUNDING
4	FOR CIRM'S RESEARCH PROGRAMS.
5	AS ALAN HAS SUGGESTED, WE ARE SEEING THE
6	START OF MORE INTEREST BY THE BACK-END GUYS, WHICH
7	THESE ALL ARE, IN GETTING INVOLVED EARLIER TO GET A
8	POTENTIAL FOOT IN THE DOOR TO EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES,
9	TO OUTSOURCE R&D BECAUSE YOU WILL SEE ARTICLES
10	REFERRING TO NEW THOUGHT WITH BIG PHARMA, THAT
11	THEY'VE SPENT WAY TOO MUCH ON R&D IN THE LAST DECADE
12	WITH NOT ENOUGH TO SHOW FOR IT. SO THE IDEA OF
13	HOOKING UP WITH SOMEBODY THAT HAS THE ABILITY TO
14	UNDERTAKE R&D WHICH THEY COULD IN PART FUND, BUT
15	ORGANIZATIONS LIKE CIRM WERE CREATED TO DO JUST
16	THAT. IT'S POTENTIALLY A VERY STRATEGIC ALLIANCE,
17	AND WE'RE SEEING INCREASED INTEREST, AND WE'RE
18	HELPING TO SORT OF HOOK PEOPLE UP TO TRY TO
19	FACILITATE THAT VERY THOUGHT.
20	SO WE SEE THAT AS A DEVELOPING TREND THAT
21	HOPEFULLY WILL POSITION COMPANIES DOWN THE ROAD IN
22	THE EVENT CIRM IS NO LONGER AROUND.
23	SO THOSE ARE A NUMBER OF THE NOTIONS WE'VE
24	DEVELOPED WITH RESPECT TO A TRANSITION PLAN. AS I
25	SAY, THINGS ARE GOING TO HAPPEN THAT WILL INFORM

1	THIS AS WE GO ALONG. THOUGH NOT MANDATED BY 1064,
2	WE WILL OBVIOUSLY PERIODICALLY EVALUATE THE
3	TRANSITION PLAN AS WE GET DOWN THE ROAD AND REVISE
4	IT ACCORDINGLY.
5	SO ANY QUESTIONS ON THAT? THANK YOU VERY
6	MUCH.
7	BY THE WAY, IF THERE ARE ANY TWEAKS TO
8	THIS PRIOR TO JANUARY 31ST, WHICH IS THE ACTUAL DATE
9	WE NEED TO HAVE IT DONE, WE'LL OBVIOUSLY FORWARD
10	THAT ALONG TOO.
11	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: ARE THERE ANY COMMENTS
12	FROM THE PUBLIC ON ITEM NO. 7? LET'S GO BACK TO
13	ITEM NO. 6. IS A REPRESENTATIVE FROM MACIAS HERE?
14	MR. ROBERTSON: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME
15	IS RUSSELL ROBERTSON FROM MACIAS, GINI & O'CONNELL.
16	WE ARE THE EXTERNAL AUDIT FIRM THAT PERFORMED THIS
17	YEAR'S FINANCIAL AUDIT FOR CIRM. ACTUALLY THIS IS
18	OUR, I BELIEVE, OUR FIFTH YEAR OF DOING SO. SO IT'S
19	KIND OF EXCITING TO COME FROM THE BEGINNING UP TO
20	NOW AND HEARING ALL THE INTERESTING POINTS TODAY.
21	IT WAS PRETTY EXCITING.
22	I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO
23	COME AND PRESENT OUR RESULTS OF OUR FINDING FOR THE
24	END OF JUNE 30, 2011. BEFORE I GO INTO KIND OF THE
25	PRESENTATION OF THE TWO REPORTS, WHICH I BELIEVE YOU
	82

1	DO HAVE COPIES OF IN YOUR BINDER, THE INDEPENDENT
2	AUDITOR'S REPORT IS THE BIGGER OF THE TWO, AND THEN
3	YOU WILL HAVE ANOTHER REPORT TO THE ICOC AS KIND OF
4	A BY-PRODUCT OF OUR AUDIT.
5	OUR SCOPE OF WORK WAS, LIKE I MENTIONED,
6	FOR JUNE 30, 2011, AND AS A BY-PRODUCT THE OTHER
7	MANAGEMENT REPORT WAS ALSO ISSUED. AT THIS POINT,
8	JUST A GENERAL BACKGROUND OF THE TWO PARTIES'
9	RESPONSIBILITIES, OUR RESPONSIBILITY AS THE CPA FIRM
10	AND MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PREPARE THE
11	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. OUR RESPONSIBILITY IS TO PLAN
12	AND PERFORM THE AUDIT, TO OBTAIN REASONABLE
13	ASSURANCE THAT THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ARE FAIRLY
14	STATED IN ALL MATERIAL RESPECT, AND THAT THOSE
15	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ARE PRESENTED IN ACCORDANCE
16	WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES.
17	IN THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT,
18	THERE'S ALSO A REPORT IN THE BACK. IT'S A REPORT ON
19	THE INTERNAL CONTROLS. HOWEVER, FOR THE PURPOSE OF
20	THAT REPORT, WE OBTAIN AN UNDERSTANDING AND WE GIVE
21	OUR REPORT BASED ON OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE
22	INTERNAL CONTROLS. AND IF THERE WAS ANY
23	DEFICIENCIES OR MATERIAL WEAKNESSES IN THAT, WE
24	WOULD PRESENT THAT IN OUR REPORT. HOWEVER, WE DON'T
25	AUDIT THOSE. WE JUST GIVE WE GET AN

1	UNDERSTANDING AND PRESENT ANYTHING THAT WE DO FIND.
2	WE DON'T GIVE ASSURANCE OVER THE INTERNAL CONTROLS
3	IN THE ORGANIZATION.
4	LIKE I SAID, ALSO OUR DUTIES AND
5	RESPONSIBILITIES ARE TO REPORT ANY SIGNIFICANT
6	DEFICIENCIES AND/OR MATERIAL WEAKNESSES IN THOSE
7	INTERNAL CONTROLS.
8	ON THE FLIP SIDE, MANAGEMENT'S
9	RESPONSIBILITIES ARE, LIKE I MENTIONED BEFORE, ARE
10	TO PREPARE THOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE
11	WITH GAP, GENERAL ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES.
12	AND THEY'RE ARE ALSO RESPONSIBLE TO ESTABLISH A
13	SYSTEM OF INTERNAL CONTROL, MEANING PUT IN PLACE
14	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL FROM TOP TO BOTTOM AND MAKE
15	SURE THAT THOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS THAT THEY DO
16	PREPARE ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAP, AND THAT THEY'RE
17	IN COMPLIANCE WITH ANY SIGNIFICANT LAWS,
18	REGULATIONS, AND OTHER AGREEMENTS THAT THEY HAVE
19	ENTERED INTO.
20	SO AS A RESULT, WE WERE ABLE TO PERFORM
21	OUR PROCEDURES THAT WE DEVELOPED AND GATHER ENOUGH
22	EVIDENCE. AND I'M HAPPY TO SAY THAT WE ARE ABLE TO
23	PROVIDE AN UNQUALIFIED OPINION OVER THE FINANCIAL
24	STATEMENTS, WHICH IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF ASSURANCE.
25	SOMETIMES WHEN YOU SAY UNQUALIFIED, IT HAS KIND OF A
	84

OR AND THE UST
THE UST
THE UST
THE UST  N
THE UST  N
UST N
UST N
UST N
N AT
АТ
M
IND
Т

1	MEAN, WHY THEY'RE CATEGORIZED THE WAY THEY ARE. BUT
2	THAT WAS ONE AN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE THAT WAS
3	IMPLEMENTED BY CIRM THIS YEAR.
4	THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OBVIOUSLY ARE IN
5	THERE AS WELL AND IN THE NOTES IT SAYS FINANCIAL
6	STATEMENTS, WHICH I BRING THAT UP, WHEN YOU GO
7	THROUGH THESE, YOU KIND OF WANT TO READ THOSE
8	ALTOGETHER BECAUSE IT'S KIND OF A THE NOTES
9	PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DETAIL ON WHAT THOSE BALANCES
10	MEAN IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.
11	THE SMALLER OF THE TWO REPORTS, AS I
12	MENTIONED, IS A BY-PRODUCT OF OUR AUDIT. WE'RE
13	REQUIRED TO PROVIDE THIS ADDITIONAL REPORT. IT'S A
14	REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS LETTER REPORT. IN THERE
15	WE'VE HIGHLIGHTED IF THERE IS ANY DISAGREEMENTS,
16	WHICH THERE WERE NONE FOR THIS YEAR. AS I
17	MENTIONED, ANY NEW ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES, WHICH WE
18	KIND OF WENT OVER, THAT'S IN HERE AS WELL. ANY
19	DI SAGREEMENTS. THERE WERE NONE.
20	AND ALSO IF THERE WAS ANY ISSUES OR AUDIT
21	DEFICIENCIES, WE WOULD HAVE MENTIONED IN THIS
22	REPORT. AS YOU CAN TELL AS WE GO THROUGH HERE,
23	THERE WERE NONE. SO OVERALL CLEAN AUDIT, PLEASURE
24	WORKING WITH THE FINANCE PEOPLE AT CIRM. OVER THE
25	FIVE YEARS WE HAVE A RELATIONSHIP BUILT AND WE KIND
	0.4

1	OF KNOW WHAT THE GOALS ARE TO PREPARE. AND THEY'VE
2	OBVIOUSLY PREPARED CLEAN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND
3	PROVIDED INFORMATION WE NEEDED TO CONDUCT OUR AUDIT.
4	SO WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO OPEN UP WITH ANY
5	QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS.
6	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: ANY QUESTIONS? ANY
7	COMMENTS FROM CIRM STAFF? THANK YOU VERY KINDLY.
8	CAROLYN, IF YOU WANT TO PRESENT YOUR REVIEW.
9	MS. BAEZ: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M CAROLYN
10	BAEZ, AND I'M AN AUDIT MANAGER WITH THE CONTROLLER'S
11	OFFICE, FINANCIAL AUDITS BUREAU. AND OUR BUREAU WAS
12	RESPONSIBLE FOR PERFORMING THE QUALITY CONTROL
13	REVIEW OF MACIAS, GINI & O'CONNELL'S WORKING PAPERS
14	FOR THEIR FINANCIAL AUDIT OF CIRM FOR THE 2010-11
15	YEAR.
16	THE CONTROLLER IS REQUIRED BY HEALTH AND
17	SAFETY CODE SECTION 125290 TO REVIEW THE ANNUAL
18	FINANCIAL AUDIT AND ISSUE A PUBLIC REPORT OF THAT
19	REVI EW.
20	THE OBJECTIVES OF OUR QUALITY CONTROL
21	REVIEW WERE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE AUDIT WAS
22	CONDUCTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED
23	AUDITING STANDARDS, GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS,
24	AND CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUSINESS
25	AND PROFESSIONS CODE.
	87
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE CONTROLLER IS REQUIRED BY HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 125290 TO REVIEW THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL AUDIT AND ISSUE A PUBLIC REPORT OF THAT REVIEW.  THE OBJECTIVES OF OUR QUALITY CONTROL REVIEW WERE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE AUDIT WAS CONDUCTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED AUDITING STANDARDS, GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS, AND CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE.

1	AS A RESULT OF OUR REVIEW, WE CONCLUDED
2	THAT THE FIRM'S AUDIT WAS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
3	WITH THESE STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS. WE DID NOT
4	IDENTIFY ANY EXCEPTIONS. HOWEVER, WE DID ISSUE A
5	LETTER OF COMMENT TO THE FIRM, IDENTIFYING WHAT WE
6	CONSIDER ARE SOME OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT IN
7	THE FIRM'S AUDIT PROCESS AND WORKING PAPERS. AND I
8	BELIEVE YOU ALSO HAVE A COPY OF THE LETTER OF
9	COMMENT AND THE FIRM'S RESPONSE TO THAT LETTER.
10	DID YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
11	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: NO. THANK YOU.
12	MS. BAEZ: THANK YOU.
13	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: ANY COMMENTS FROM THE
14	PUBLIC? WE'LL GO TO THE NEXT ITEM, CONSIDERATION OF
15	DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE NEXT MEETING. ANYBODY WANT TO
16	ADD ANYTHING?
17	MEMBER LIPSON: JUST A QUESTION. A COUPLE
18	YEARS AGO I THINK I ASKED A QUESTION OF THE NUMBER
19	OF GRANTS YOU GET, WHAT PERCENT ACTUALLY GET FUNDED.
20	AND I WANT TO ASK THAT QUESTION AGAIN.
21	DR. PLUNKETT: I HAVE THAT ACTUALLY. I'LL
22	GIVE YOU AN ANSWER IN JUST A MOMENT.
23	DR. TROUNSON: IT'S NOT A SIMPLE ANSWER,
24	SO THAT'S WHY WE NEED TO LOOK IT UP. IT DEPENDS ON
25	WHAT AREA THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT. WE CAN GIVE AN
	QQ

OVERALL FIGURE, BUT THERE'S A BIG VARIANCE BETWEEN
THE BASIC SCIENCE AND THE MORE CLINICAL AREAS. A
SMALLER NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS RECEIVED AT ONE END,
VERY LARGE NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS IN THE OTHER END.
SO WE CAN GET THAT FOR YOU. LET'S BE ACCURATE ABOUT
IT.
MEMBER LIPSON: I THINK LAST TIME I ASKED
IT WAS A VERY HIGH PERCENTAGE OF GRANTS WERE BEING
FUNDED.
DR. TROUNSON: I THINK IT'S REALLY CURVED
DOWN QUITE DRAMATICALLY. WE'RE THINKING IN THE CASE
OF BASIC BIOLOGY, WE RECEIVE OVER 350 APPLICATIONS,
AND I THINK WE FUND AROUND ABOUT 25 TO 30. SO
THAT'S AROUND 10 PERCENT, 9, 10 PERCENT.
AND THE OTHER IS WHAT WE DO DO, IT'S A
COMPLEX SYSTEM IN SOME OF THIS WHERE WE REQUEST A
SHORTER APPLICATION IN THE FIRST PLACE SO WE CAN
HAVE A PREAPPLICATION CUTDOWN. SO THERE'S A FIRST
CUT, IF YOU LIKE, TO THE APPLICATIONS SO THAT WE'VE
GOT A SMALL ENOUGH NUMBER THAT WE CAN ACTUALLY
REVIEW IN-DEPTH. WE TRY AND HELP THE RESEARCHERS BY
NOT HAVING LARGE NUMBERS AND SPEND A LOT OF TIME
FILLING IN DETAILED APPLICATIONS.
SO THERE'S A TWO-TIER PROCESS, WHICH WILL
TAKE THAT FROM THE 350 DOWN TO, SAY, IN THE CASE OF

1	BASIC BIOLOGY OR TOOLS AND TECHNOLOGY, AROUND ABOUT
2	40 OR 50 OR 50 TO 60 TO BE ACTUALLY REVIEWED IN
3	DETAIL FOR THE AWARD OF A SMALLER NUMBER OF THOSE.
4	SO MAYBE HALF OF THOSE OR LESS THAN HALF OF THOSE,
5	BUT THE BIG CUT WILL COME IN THE FIRST PLACE.
6	IN THE OTHER GRANTS ON THE TRANSLATIONAL
7	SIDE, WE TRY NOT TO DO A PRECUT OF THE APPLICATIONS.
8	WE HAVE A DOUBLE REVIEW. SO WE HAVE THE FIRST
9	REVIEW AND THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP WILL THEN SORT
10	OF SAY THIS IS THE ONE-THIRD OF THEM THAT ARE WORTH
11	BRINGING FORWARD OR LESS SOMETIMES, WHICH IS THE 25
12	PERCENT OF THEM COMING FORWARD. OF THAT WE WILL
13	FUND HALF OR A THIRD OF THOSE. SO IT'S MULTIPLIED
14	BACK UP TO, RATHER, IT WOULD BE, I THINK, GENERALLY
15	IN THE ORDER OF 10 PERCENT.
16	MEMBER LIPSON: THAT'S MUCH MORE RATIONAL
17	THAN WAS DONE EARLIER.
18	DR. TROUNSON: IN THE EARLY DAYS I THINK
19	THERE WERE A SMALLER NUMBER AND A LARGER NUMBER OF
20	AWARDS, AND WE'RE PUTTING MORE PRESSURE TO HAVE A
21	SMALLER NUMBER, PARTICULARLY AT THE TRANSLATIONAL
22	CLINICAL END BECAUSE WE WANT TO SORT OF HELP USHER
23	THOSE THROUGH TO SUCCESS. AND WE'RE BETTER OFF
24	HAVING A SMALLER THAN A REALLY LARGE NUMBER WHERE IT
25	ALL GETS OVERWHELMING.

	DARRISTERS REPORTING SERVICE
1	MEMBER LIPSON: I AGREE. THANK YOU.
2	CHAIRMAN CHIANG: OKAY. ITEM 10. NO
3	ADDITIONAL ITEMS. ELEVEN, ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? NO.
4	12, BOARD MEMBER, ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS.
5	13, WE'RE ADJOURNED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
6	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT
7	12: 40 P. M. )
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	91
	7 1

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE CITIZENS FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD AT THE LOCATION INDICATED BELOW

SCAG BOARD ROOM 818 W. 7TH STREET, 12TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA ON FRIDAY, JANUARY 27, 2012

WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

main

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152

BARRISTER'S REPORTING SERVICE

1072 BRISTOL STREET

SUITE 100

COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

(714) 444-4100